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1. **Security Target (ST) Introduction**

This document defines the Security Target (ST) for the Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation of IBM Tivoli Identity Manager 5.0 developed by IBM.

1.1. **ST Identification**

Title: IBM Tivoli Identity Manager 5.0 Security Target  
Version: 1.14  
Status: Released  
Keywords: Identity Management, TIM, ITIM

This document is the Security Target for the Common Criteria evaluation of IBM Tivoli Identity Manager (ITIM) 5.0 provided by IBM for a Common Criteria evaluation.

1.2. **ST Overview**

The target of evaluation (TOE) is the IBM Tivoli Identity Manager (ITIM) 5.0. This Security Target describes the TOE, its boundary, IT environment, IT security requirements and security functions.

IBM’s Tivoli Identity Manager provides the software and services needed for deploying policy-based provisioning solutions. Tivoli Identity Manager helps companies automate the process of provisioning employees, contractors and business partners with access rights to the applications they need, whether in a closed enterprise environment or across a virtual or extended enterprise.

People are organized in business units, which are part of a hierarchical structure: Organizations may contain subsidiary entities such as Organizational Units, Business Partner Organizations, and Locations. Organizational Roles are used to group people according to their function in the Organization. Services represent different types of managed resources such as Oracle databases, Windows machines, etc.. An Organizational Role can be linked to Services by means of Provisioning Policies, entitling persons in the Organizational Roles to an account on the managed resource that is linked to that Service.

ITIM Groups, which allow access to the central Tivoli Identity Manager Server, are granted rights within Tivoli Identity Manager by the use of Access Control Items (ACI), and people are assigned to ITIM Groups to allow them to use those granted rights (e.g. management of accounts by users, and management of Organizations and Policies by administrators).

The TOE provides the following identity management security functionality:
• provisioning of user account information to remote services
• import and management of person, or identity, and account data

The TOE provides the following security functionality to support the identity management functionality:

• identification and authentication of users
• authorization of user-initiated transactions
• auditing of transactions

1.3. CC Conformance Claim

The evaluation is based upon the Common Criteria [CC] and Common Evaluation Methodology [CEM] version 2.3.

This Security Target claims the following CC conformance:
- part 2 conformant
- part 3 conformant
- evaluation assurance level (EAL) 3 augmented by ALC_FLR.1

This Security Target does not claim conformance to any protection profile.

1.4. Strength of Function

The claimed strength of function (SOF) for this TOE is: SOF-medium.

1.5. Rationale for the Selection of the Assurance Level and Strength of Function

The evaluation assurance level (EAL) 3 was chosen as a medium level of assurance reflecting the expected assurance requirements of commercial customers using the TOE for the protection of data with a low or medium level of sensitivity. The TOE is intended to provide a reasonable level of protection for this data comparable to the protection provided by most commercial-off-the-shelf identity provisioning products.

In line with this medium level of assurance the functions provided by the TOE that are subject to probabilistic or permutational analysis are claimed to have a medium strength (SOF-medium).
1.6. **Philosophy**

Security is a trade-off. IBM Tivoli Identity Manager (ITIM) is a complex product that allows customer-specific extensions and replacements of existing functionality in many places and relies heavily on the services provided by the J2EE framework for its operation. To allow a Common Criteria evaluation of any product, its security functions need to be unambiguously specified. Functional tests have to be performed to verify these functions in all supported configurations. Setups and configurations that introduce vulnerabilities must be avoided.

The Common Criteria evaluation and certification of IBM Tivoli Identity Manager is a trade-off between ITIM’s flexibility, the need to specify a dedicated evaluated configuration in order to narrow down the behavior of the assessed security functionality and to encounter threats that cannot be addressed by ITIM itself, and last but not least the efforts that are required to analyze and test the possible setups and configurations of the Target of Evaluation. In other words, the supported configurations of ITIM that have been specified for its evaluation are a trade-off between all possible scenarios of use that are functionally supported by the product and the amount of time required to evaluate the product in all of these scenarios, plus the exclusion of scenarios that would not withstand the vulnerability assessment that is part of the evaluation.

This Security Target specifies the evaluated configuration, security functions and the intended operational environment for ITIM as basis for an evaluation. The evaluated configuration is as well reflected in the IBM Tivoli Identity Manager Common Criteria Guide, which provides hands-on advice on how to operate the product in the evaluated configuration. IBM has taken great care to define an evaluated configuration that allows the usage of ITIM in a large number of customer scenarios.
2. **TOE Description**

The TOE is software only. The TOE consists of IBM Tivoli Identity Manager (ITIM) Server, the ITIM Directory Integrator Based Oracle Database Adapter (consisting of the RMI dispatcher add-on for Tivoli Directory Integrator and Assembly Lines), and the Active Directory Adapter.

The following sections provide a description of the structure of the TOE and the TOE boundary, and an overview of the security functionality provided by the TOE.

2.1. **Introduction**

Identity management is a commonly used term for the central management of user identities that need to be available throughout a number of systems in a distributed operating environment. In large computer networks operated by organizations with a huge number of employees, an employee typically will need accounts on several operating systems and applications provided by the network infrastructure. Not only is it a significant effort to administrate the accounts for each of those systems separately (imagine a distributed operating system, an email system, a work flow application, a collaboration solution), but also processes must be cultivated within the organization to cope with modifications in case of new or leaving employees to reflect the current employment status on all systems that provide accounts for such users. Last but not least, employees have to maintain passwords for all their accounts throughout the organization.

The IBM Tivoli Identity Manager (ITIM) provides a solution for central management of users and their accounts on the different systems in a network. First, each employee of an organization is represented by an identity within the ITIM server. Next, ITIM has a way of interacting with services (i.e. the systems) in a network infrastructure to manage accounts on these services. This interaction is provided by ITIM service providers that direct the management of accounts to so-called adapters: software that sits either directly on the remote system, e.g. on the operating system, interacting with the user management mechanisms of the operating system, or on a central adapter server with network interfaces to the managed system. By defining within ITIM which identity shall have access to which of the services managed by ITIM, ITIM is able to provide appropriate information for account creation for that identity to each of these services, or managed resources. This is called Provisioning.

Basically, identities within ITIM are managed by membership of Organizational Roles and ITIM Groups:

- Organizational Roles
Identities within ITIM can be grouped by membership to Organizational Roles, or roles. Such Organizational Roles are intended to reflect the roles that exist within an organization that uses ITIM for identity management.

Organizational Roles are used by Provisioning Policies to determine which identities shall have accounts on which managed resources. A Provisioning Policy defines a number of services and attributes a user shall have on these services (e.g. membership of groups on the service) and is associated with dedicated Organizational Roles.

By adding an identity to an Organizational Role, this identity is subject to the Provisioning Policies referring to this role and therefore to the creation (or modification, deletion) of accounts on the services defined within these policies. Granting access to services is referred to as Entitlement.

- **ITIM Groups**

An identity may be member of an ITIM Group, or group. This requires an identity to be entitled to the ITIM service, i.e. to have an account on the ITIM server (this is not the case per se for the identities managed by ITIM). ITIM Groups specify the kind of access a user has on ITIM. Fine grained access control is then performed by evaluating ACIs (Access Control Item, i.e. ITIM-specific access control policies) that delegate specified rights to ITIM Groups.

In most environments, all identities will be members of an ITIM Group that delegates the right to change account passwords via the web-based user interface provided by the ITIM server to its members, whereas the modified password will then be provided by way of connectors to all managed resources the identity has an account on. Only dedicated identities will belong to ITIM Groups that delegate the right of system administration or Organizational Role management to these users. Membership of the pre-defined Administrator group exempts an user from all access control on the ITIM server.

Note: while ITIM provides the necessary functionality to provision accounts to managed resources, i.e. to provide a service with the necessary information to create an account and to trigger this creation, the enforcement of (security) functionality on the managed resources for such an account is by nature left to the managed resource.

**2.2. Organizations and Policies**

The basic purpose of Identity Manager is to provide a delegable and scalable way of policing and provisioning a large body of identities with privileges on multiple heterogeneous resources.
Before a person can be associated with ITIM Groups or Organizational Roles, there needs to exist an identity in ITIM representing the person. Upon creation, identities are assigned to an Organization or subordinated elements within an organization tree (i.e. business units). ITIM provides the concept of Organizations, which can be organized on lower hierarchies by defining Locations, Organizational Units, Administrative Domains, and Business Partner Organizations (see section 2.3 for additional details).

The arrangement of identities within these organizational elements provides some additional implications:

- A customer can reflect his own organization by emulating an appropriate hierarchy within ITIM.
- Administrative Domains can be used to define object spaces that can be managed by assigned domain administrators – such administrators are restricted to manage only what is within their administrative domain.
- Supervisors for organizational branches can be defined for the approval of requests within ITIM (this relates to the concept of managers in an organization).

In addition to assigning identities to organizational elements, identities can also be categorized through the concept of an Organizational Role (see section 2.1). This eliminates having to administer privileges on a per individual basis. ITIM distinguishes between static and dynamic Organizational Roles:

- Static Organizational Roles are available globally; any person can be added as a member of a static Organizational Role. Assigning a person to a static Organizational Role is a manual process. Persons can be added to a static Organizational Role’s membership manually, through the person’s detailed information. Users can also be added through an Identity Feed.
- A Dynamic Role has a matching rule specified that uses Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) filters to determine which identities belong to the Dynamic Role. The system automatically manages the membership list of the Dynamic Role based on this matching rule. Dynamic Roles can be targeted to organizational entities, while static Organizational Roles are globally available throughout ITIM and are assigned manually to identities.
- Dynamic Organizational Roles allow placement of persons into specific roles based on valid LDAP filters. Dynamic Organizational Roles are evaluated whenever new persons are added to the system or a person’s personal information changes. Dynamic Organizational Roles apply to any container at any level depending on the scope defined for the dynamic Organizational Role within the organizational tree.
The resources in an IT environment that Identity Manager is providing provisioning for are represented as Services (cf. section 2.1). When an identity is provisioned access to a Service, an Account creation is triggered on the service (i.e. the managed resource in the IT environment) to represent the association. An account may have a password associated with it. Identity Manager provides the possibility to manage (e.g. create, modify) passwords for all accounts on the services a user is entitled to.

In order to complete the goal of scalable policing and provisioning, the concept of a Provisioning Policy exists to associate multiple Organizational Roles with possibly multiple Services. The association is made through an Entitlement which specifies the service (and permissions on that service) on which the identities within the listed roles are granted an account. At any time a provisioning request is made for an identity against a Service (e.g. to create an account on that service), the relevant Provisioning Policies are evaluated and enforced. An Entitlement can also be defined as automatic. ITIM will automatically provision that identity with an account and the correct privileges, in opposite to a manual entitlement, where the person (or another user authorized to do so on the person’s behalf, e.g. an administrator) manually creates a request (via the ITIM user interface) to trigger account generation on a service the person is entitled to. The system can also retrieve account information from a managed resource, or Service, so that it can police any changes that have been made at the managed resource without ITIM’s knowledge (e.g. if access rights or group memberships on the managed resources have been altered on the resource directly, ITIM will be able to notice this, and – if necessary – to re-enforce the Provisioning Policy).

To provide delegable administration of these concepts, the system has a comprehensive authorization engine. This engine follows rules, named Access Control Items (ACI), which can be defined by administrators (cf. section 2.1). These ACIs can be defined to provide just the right users of the system with discrete permissions on the objects described above.

In addition, ITIM provides the concepts of:

- Service Selection Policies that may be referenced from Provisioning Policies for dynamic provisioning of services to identities based on certain identity attributes.
- Identity Policies to specify naming schemes for user IDs for accounts created on services.
- Adoption Policies to determine the owner of an account during a reconciliation process.
- Recertification Policies to define how frequently users of accounts must certify their need for account access.
- Password Policies to define global or service specific password constraints.
- Workflows to enhance the Provisioning or Recertification of account and access entitlement on a Service, e.g. by requesting management (supervisor) approval for an account creation that has been requested for an entitled person.

2.3. Administrative Overview

The following is a basic overview of how the Tivoli Identity Manager system works.

![Diagram of Tivoli Identity Manager System](image)

**Figure 1: Administrative view of the TOE**

People are added by administrators to Organizations or organizational entities below an Organization. They are then known to the TOE as persons, without the implication that they have an account to access the TOE. People can be added via an Identity Feed, or HR Feed, i.e. an interface for automated import of identities from human resource management software, which allows the import of identities that are already defined in an organization as persons into the TOE.
Accounts can be created for persons known to the TOE. This may be an account for the TOE (i.e. ITIM itself) or another Managed Resource (i.e. a service in the IT environment).

A person can be assigned to an Organizational Role, which in turn may entitle the person to access to Managed Resources through a corresponding Provisioning Policy, which allows automatic or manual provisioning of accounts for the person on the Managed Resources.

The people managed by a Tivoli Identity Manager system are grouped into one or more Organization entities that can contain subsidiary entities, such as Organizational Units, Locations, and Business Partner Organizations, all in a parent-child relationship. Each Tivoli Identity Manager entity can contain people, who can then be assigned to Organizational Roles.

Any of the subsidiary entities can be subsidiaries of an Organization or of any of the other entities. There is no restriction on hierarchy for subsidiary entities, so, for example, a Location can contain other Locations, and Organization Units can contain other Organization Units, along with any of the other subsidiary entities. An Organization must always be at the top of the organizational hierarchy.

When adding people, they must be put into either an Organization or other container such as an Organizational Unit, Business Partner Organization, Admin Domain, or Location. Once a person is added to an Organization or other container, they can be provisioned with a Service which allows them to access a managed resource, including the Tivoli Identity Manager Server.

A workflow can be associated with a provisioning policy. If an account for a service is to be created for a person, a workflow may require specific information to be provided by a defined person (e.g. userID or other information required on the managed resource for creation of the account) or the account creation to be approved by a supervisor or other ITIM instance.

Access to the Tivoli Identity Manager via its user interface is granted by entitling persons to use the ITIM service. Users of the ITIM service can be grouped by ITIM groups. A pre-defined Administrator group can be assigned to users who need full access to all functional areas of Tivoli Identity Manager.

Users of the Tivoli Identity Manager, typically organized by means of ITIM Groups, are granted various types of access through an access control mechanism based on Access Control Items. An Access Control Item, or ACI, defines three things:

- types of functions that are granted to the ITIM Group
- organization or subsidiary entity types upon which the granted functions may be performed
- level within the organizational hierarchy at which the granted functions may be performed
Some people, usually only one or a few, are defined to be Administrators of the system by relationship to the pre-defined Administrator Group and have access to all Tivoli Identity Manager functions at all levels. Most users will have the possibility to manage certain aspects of their own account, e.g. to change their password or to request the creation of an account they are entitled to.

2.4. IT Environment

Figure 2: Product view of the TOE and IT Environment

Figure 2 presents a product-oriented overview on how Tivoli Identity Manager interfaces with its IT environment. Please refer to Figure 3 and section 2.6 for a definition of the TOE boundary.

The basic structure of the product is the ITIM server “TIM”. This server runs on top of a web application server that provides the necessary Java 2 Platform, Enterprise Edition (J2EE) runtime environment. The ITIM server provides interfaces to:

- TIM adapters enable to connect the Tivoli Identity Manager Server to a set of heterogeneous resources, which can be operating systems, data stores, or other applications, in order to provision identities. TIM adapters cover about 36 different target systems (services), such as IBM’s Advanced Interactive Executive (AIX), Microsoft Windows, and IBM Lotus Notes.

Adapters function as trusted virtual administrators on the target platform for account management; they are limited to perform the following operations: add, modify, delete, suspend,
restore, changePassword, search and test of user accounts and attributes related to those accounts (e.g., group memberships).

A Tivoli Identity Manager adapter can be either agent-based or agent-less: whereas agent-based adapters must be installed on the same machine where the managed resource is hosted, agent-less adapters does not need to.

Generic adapters (called tool kits) are provided as well as LDAP and vendor specific possibilities to address services in the IT environment.

TIM adapters are considered as part of the TOE; please see section 2.7 for a list of the adapters included in the evaluated configuration.

- IBM Tivoli Directory Integrator

TDI hosts directory integrator-based adapters, providing a standard underlying mechanism to connect the TOE with managed resources via connectors specifically developed for the target systems (e.g. JDBC connector for Oracle Database). The TIM server communicates with TIM adapters through an ITDI provider (running on the TIM server side) and an RMI dispatcher (running on the TDI server side), both are considered as part of the TOE. Additionally, TDI allows synchronization of identity data residing in heterogeneous directories, databases, collaborative systems and applications through the IDI protocol.

TDI runs in a Java 2 Platform Standard Edition (J2SE) runtime environment.

Please refer to section 2.8 for an identification of the TDI version supported by the evaluated configuration.

- User and administrator (supervisor) systems.

The user and administrator interface for managing identities, roles, and policies and for performing other management aspects is web (i.e. HTML) based. Parts of the administrator interface use Java applets.

Users and administrators use the web browsers on their system to access the presentation services offered by ITIM.

- Directory Server

A directory server is used by the TOE for storing the system state: organizational chart, identities, roles, policies, services, accounts and other entities. The directory server is also used for storing the definition of the TDI based adapters installed in the system. The TOE accesses the directory server via LDAP v3 (platforms supported by the ITIM product are either the IBM Tivoli Directory Server or Netscape iPlanet).
Please refer to section 2.8 for an identification of the user registry supported by the evaluated configuration.

- **Transaction database**
  An external database is used to store transaction logs containing audit records that have been generated by the TOE. Platforms supported by the ITIM product are IBM DB2, Oracle, and Microsoft SQL Server.

  Please refer to section 2.8 for an identification of the RDBMS supported by the evaluated configuration.

- **Identity Stores**
  HR management software can be used as “HR Feeds” (also called Identity Feeds). The identities to be managed and provisioned to the services are then received using predefined identity feed service types provided by the TOE: DSML (using DSMLv1 format), IDI (via Tivoli IBM Directory Integrator using DSMLv2), AD (Windows Active Directory), CSV (CSV text file), INetOrgPerson (LDAP).

- **External Applications**
  A Java API is provided by ITIM to provide an interface for user specific applications in the IT environment as well as for the Web User Interface subsystem.

- **Messaging Service**
  ITIM uses the Websphere Application Server’s built-in messaging service, the default messaging provider, for the queuing of workflow actions.

- **Key Generation and Certification Authority**
  The ITIM components use Secure Socket Layer (SSL) server and client certificates for authentication of the SSL communication layer. This requires an external entity to provide key and certificate generation.

2.5. **Subjects of the TOE Security Policy**

The TOE decides in its notion of subjects between **persons** and **users**. While the TOE Security Functions (TSF) are primarily focused on users of the TOE, it is important to keep in mind that the TSP also aim at protecting information related to identities that are not users of the TOE itself. Keeping this in mind, the different terms used throughout the SFR specification in chapter 5 have to be interpreted as follows (cf. as well the Glossary provided in the Appendix):

- **person** (or, **identity**): a person is identified by name and further information associated with her or him, e.g. aliases and membership of an Organizational Role. Persons are part of an Organization
or Organizational Unit within the organizational hierarchy managed by the TOE. When it comes to the management of a number of persons, they may be referred to as well as “people”.

- user (or, ITIM user): a user is a person having an account on the TOE, i.e. the person has been provisioned with an account for the ITIM service. He is able to access the TOE’s user or administrative interfaces, to authenticate against the TOE, and is subject to access control and auditing performed by the TOE. The term user includes all users of the TOE regardless of their role.

- group (or, ITIM group): a group is a concept to represent a number of dedicated users by membership. Groups relate to the ITIM service and can be subject of Access Control Item. All users that are members of a group which is subject to an ACI are therefore subject to that ACI.

- administrator: an administrator is a user that is member of the Administrator group of the ITIM service. Members of this group are not subject to any access control. This means that any administrator is per definition an “authorized user” for all transactions.

- account: persons can be provisioned with accounts on a remote service, or on the ITIM service. While the latter makes a user out of a person in terms of the TOE Security Policy, the term account does not refer to a not further specified resource (e.g., a Windows machine or Oracle Database), but to the concept of service entitlement and provisioning in general.

- service: a service represents the definition of a managed resource that is known to the TOE, i.e. the TOE is able to provision accounts on that service via an adapter. A special case is the ITIM service, which comprises the functionality that is offered to users of the TOE.

- service type: a category of related services that share the same schemas. It defines the schema attributes that are common across a set of similar managed resources.

- role: an organizational role is a similar concept than a group, representing a number of persons (as opposed to users). It is used for people management, e.g. when it comes to the definition of provisioning policies. Association of a person with a role may be achieved e.g. by position of the person in the organization’s hierarchy. All persons that are assigned to an organizational role which is subject to a Provisioning Policy are subject to this Provisioning Policy.

### 2.6. TOE Boundary and Runtime Environment

Figure 3 presents an abstract overview of the TOE, its runtime and general IT environment. The dotted line identifies the TOE boundary. The following sections will give a detailed overview of the technology that has been used to build the TOE and the underlying systems that are expected to
provide the runtime environment for the TOE.

The TOE basically consists of the ITIM server, which is comprised by the Core Services, Applications and Web User Interface subsystems, and the adapters that provision the managed resources, including the RMI dispatcher add-on for directory integrator-based TIM adapters.

The ITIM server component is completely built on Java related technology; TDI-based adapters are built on Java related technology and the Tivoli Directory Integrator architecture, and ADK-based adapters are implemented in C and C++ utilizing the ADK to communicate with ITIM. Therefore, the runtime environment provided by the IT environment for running the TOE is a Web Application Server (WebSphere) for the ITIM server, Tivoli Directory Integrator (including the necessary connectors) for the Oracle adapter and Windows operating systems for the Active Directory adapter.

The Policy Directory (in fact an LDAP repository), the Workflow, or transaction, data base and the external sources for person information (i.e. the identity stores) are part of the IT environment.
2.6.1 Runtime Environment for the ITIM Server

The ITIM server component is completely based on the Java 2 Platform, Enterprise Edition (J2EE) technology. The ITIM server component relies on services in the IT environment provided by the J2EE framework as implemented by the Web Application Server.

Services provided by the Web Application Server and, for the administrator accessible Java applets by the runtime environment on the administrator’s client machine, are:

- J2EE (including Java 2 Platform, Standard Edition) services and interfaces to these services as specified in Java [TM] 2 Platform Enterprise Edition Specification, v1.4, and further specification referenced there within, in detail
- HTTP and HTTPS, interfaces are specified by the java.net package (client-side) and 
servlet and Java Server Pages (JSP) interfaces (server side)

  Note: The implementation of the SSL layer itself is not part of the TOE. HTTPS 
  connections to the user clients are provided by the application server in the TOE 
environment, SSL connections between the ITIM Server and adapters are provided by 
relying on a third-party application as well.

- Java Transaction Service (JTS) – a transaction manager that can be accessed via the Java 
  Transaction API (JTA) to establish a distributed transaction system

- Remote Method Invocation (RMI) APIs, i.e. JavaIDL and RMI-IIOP. RMI is used by the 
  ITDI provider (included in the TIM server) to communicate with the RMI dispatcher 
  add-on (part of the TOE) running in the TDI server instance to send requests from the 
  TIM server to TDI-based adapters.

- JDBC API – this API provides connectivity with relational database systems and is 
  interfaced by the TOE via the JDBC 2.0 Core API (provided by J2SE) and the JDBC 2.0 
  Extension API

- Java Naming and Directory Interface (JNDI) to access the naming service provided by 
  the WAS for the referencing to the objects that comprise the TOE

- Java Messaging Service (JMS) API to access the JMS provider (engine) provided by the 
  Web Application Server for asynchronous messaging between the TOE components.

- JavaMail API to access a JavaMail service provider implemented by the Web 
  Application Server in the IT environment for handling of Internet emails.

- Java API for XML Processing (JAXP) for the processing of XML documents.

- JavaBeans Activation Framework (JAF) API for support of MIME data processing, e.g. 
  by the JavaMail service.

- J2EE Connector Architecture API for connectivity with enterprise information systems.

- Java Authentication and Authorization Service (JAAS) providing a PAM framework and 
  API for user authentication and authorization.

- Java Cryptography Extension (JCE) providing cryptographic services (e.g. encryption 
  and hashing).

  Interpretation of byte code by the Java Virtual Machine as specified in The Java [TM] Virtual
Machine Specification (2nd Edition) is provided by

- the Java 2 SDK as delivered with the Web Application Server
- the Java 2 SDK or JRE on the client (administrator) machines

2.6.2 ITIM Adapters

An adapter is a software component that provides an interface between a managed resource and Tivoli Identity Manager. An adapter functions as a trusted virtual administrator for the managed resource, performing such tasks as creating accounts, suspending accounts, and other functions.

Depending on the managed resource API, interface and the need for customization, an adapter is either developed using the in-house Adapter Development Kit C/C++ library (ADK) or the Tivoli Identity Manager (TDI).

In addition the managed resource API and interface dictates whether a Tivoli Identity Manager adapter can be agent-based or agent-less:

- An agent-less adapter is an adapter that does not need to be installed on the managed resource to function. No footprint on the manage resource. An agent-less adapter can be either ADK-based or TDI-based. In most cases, the managed resource API or client software is a prerequisite. Examples of agent-less adapters are: Windows AD, SAP ABAP.R3, Unix/Linux, LDAP, Notes, DB2 on Windows.

- An agent-based adapter is an adapter that must be installed on the managed resource to function. This requirement is imposed by the managed resource API or interface. An agent-based adapter can be either ADK-based or TDI-based. In most cases, the managed resource API or client software is a prerequisite. Examples of agent-based adapters are: RSA Authentication Manager (ACE), Windows Local, and DB2 on AIX.

2.6.1 ADK-based Adapters

An ADK Adapter is implemented in C and C++ utilizing the ADK to communicate with TIM. The ADK consists of a set of libraries that the adapter is linked against.

ADK-based adapters rely on the services provided by these operating systems in terms of runtime environment, and interact with the managed resources via the interfaces provided for user account management by the managed resources (in case of the Windows AD Adapter this is the Active Directory Server Interface or ADSI).
TIM uses DAML (directory service provider) to send requests to the ADK. Adapters built on the ADK set callback functions per TIM request that the ADK will call. These callback functions are coded with the adapter and cannot be customized.

2.6.2.2 TDI-based adapters (Directory Integrated-based)

A TDI-based adapter runs on IBM Tivoli Directory Integrator, which provides an underlying mechanism and built-in components (e.g. connectors, hooks, etc.) to implement the logic of the adapter and communicate with the managed resource. TDI is completely based on the Java 2 Platform Standard Edition (J2SE) technology; hence it can run on any operating system supported by the product.

Directory integrated-based adapters are implemented as adapter profiles: an adapter profile is a set of xml configuration files and assembly lines (TDI components coded in java script, usually one assembly line is provided for each operation) which are initially stored in the Directory Server (provided by the IT environment and also used for the TOE for storing all entities that comprise the system state) when installed and deployed in a TDI server instance when needed.

The adaptor is instantiated when a dedicated TDI server instance starts and loads the RMI dispatcher, a component that enables the communication with the ITDI provider component at the TIM server’s side using RMI (Remote Method Invocation) and listens to TIM server’s requests. The ITDI provider component is part of the TIM server’s core services, the RMI dispatcher is an add-on for TDI provided as part of the TOE.

When the TIM server sends a request to the adapter (e.g. an add operation) through the ITDI provider, the RMI dispatcher verifies that the assembly line associated with the operation is loaded; if not, it requests back to the TIM server the needed assembly line. Once the assembly line is loaded, the RMI dispatcher executes the assembly line, which performs the necessary data transformations (e.g. build a sql statement to create a user based on data provided in the request) and invocations (e.g. execute the sql statement via a TDI connector) to implement the operation in the managed resource. Communication between the adaptor and the managed resource is provided by standard TDI connectors available for several target product and platforms.

TDI-based adapters are fully customizable.

2.7. Product Packaging

The TOE is a distributed system, comprising the ITIM server and a defined set of adapters. It is delivered to the customer as follows:
- **ITIM Server Release 5.0**

The ITIM server is delivered as installation image via IBM’s Passport Advantage distribution channel. Optionally, it can be ordered on CD-ROM. The evaluated configuration assumes that the customer uses online access to Passport Advantage to download an installation image to install an evaluated configuration of the TOE.

The customer is generally presented the choice between two packages for installation. An all-in-one package that supports only single-node deployment and a clustered package that supports clustering of ITIM over several web application servers. Only the single-server installation process is to be used for an installation of the evaluated configuration of the TOE. This package will install:

- the runtime environment for the TOE provided by IBM WebSphere Application Server Network Deployment v6.1 Fix Pack 9 (part of the IT environment)
- ITIM application binaries and configuration files (part of the TOE)

- **Director Integrator-Based Oracle Database Adapter version 5.0.1 (part of the TOE)**

This adapter runs under a Tivoli Directory Integrator server instance, which runs in a J2SE runtime environment. It can be deployed in a different machine or in the same one where the TIM server is installed. The adaptor uses the JDBC connector provided by TDI and a JDBC thin driver for Oracle Database (depending on the version it can support Oracle Database versions 8i, 9i, 10g r1 or 10g r2). This adapter (as well as all the TDI based adapters bundled with the product) includes the RMI dispatcher add-on for Tivoli Directory Integrator, for enabling communication between the TIM and TDI servers.

The evaluated configuration is restricted to Tivoli Directory Integrator 6.1.1, the JDBC thin driver version 10.2.0.1.0 and Oracle Database version 10g r2 under Windows as part of the IT environment.

- **Active Directory Adapter version 5.0.2 (part of the TOE, also known as “Windows AD Adapter”)**

This adapter runs on 32bit x86-based machines with Windows 2000 Advanced Server running Active Directory or Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition. The adapter also allows managing Exchange 2000 and 2003 mailboxes with the Active Directory domain, creating home directories and moving users in different containers.

The evaluated configuration is restricted to Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition Release 2.

User and administrator guidance for the TOE, including guidance for the secure installation and
configuration of the TOE, is provided online at IBM’s web site.

The TOE package also includes the following products that are part of the IT environment:

- Java Runtime Environment (JRE) version 1.5
- WebSphere Application Server Version 6.1 Fix Pack 9
- IBM® DB2® Enterprise Version 9.1
- Tivoli Directory Server, Version 6.1
- IBM Tivoli Directory Integrator Version 6.1.1

The user can choose to install these products or replace them with other products allowed in the evaluated configuration.

2.8. Evaluated Configuration

The following describes the specifics of the configuration of IBM Tivoli Identity Manager 5.0 and its IT environment that conforms to the description in this Security Target and is henceforth called the evaluated configuration:

- Only adapters that are part of the evaluated configuration of the TOE (i.e. the adapters identified in section 2.7) are to be used. No other adapters in the IT environment may be connected to the TOE, including LDAP or vendor specific adapters. The adapters that are part of the evaluated configuration use the following protocols for communication with the ITIM server: DAML for the Windows AD adapter, and RMI for the Directory Integrator-based Oracle Database adapter.

- The ITIM server component of the TOE is installed and operated on a dedicated Web Application Server that communicates via network connections with clients, adapters and the resources in the IT environment (e.g. LDAP registry, RDBMS) as supportive to the TOE.

- Tivoli Directory Integrator is installed and operated either on a separate dedicated machine or in the same machine where the ITIM server is hosted; in the former case a secure channel between the servers must be provided by the IT environment (in any case both the TOE and TDI run on separate java runtime environments). The RMI dispatcher add-on (which is part of the TOE) shall also be installed and configured as documented. TDI is expected to be used as a supporting application for the TOE; a dedicated TDI server instance is used exclusively for supporting each of the services implemented through a Directory Integrator-based adapter.

- “Event notifications” of adapters (remote password synchronization) and identity feeds are not
supported in the evaluated configuration. Identity feeds are operated by using their reconciliation functionality.

- Only the English user interface (and guidance) is to be used.
- The usage of low-level APIs (as opposed to the exported API) to extend the functionality of the TOE’s Core Services by plugging in user-specific extensions is prohibited.
- The Web Application Server is installed on one dedicated machine that is physically and logically protected. Clustering is disabled.
- The Directory Server and RDBMS are installed either together on one or separated on two systems. They are for dedicated use by the TOE only and configured accordingly (e.g. restricted network availability). The underlying machine(s) are dedicated to run only these applications.
- All network communication is protected, either by cryptographic (SSL / TLS) or organizational (restricted network access) means.
- Access to network sockets opened by adapters for configuration with the agentCfg tool is restricted to “root” users, or administrators, on the local operating system hosting the adapter. High quality passwords must be set for the adapter configuration.
- Single Sign-On is not supported.

The evaluated configuration of the TOE restricts the choice of products that can be selected by the customer to fulfill the dependencies of the ITIM server on its IT environment to the following products:

- The underlying operating environment for the ITIM server is IBM WebSphere Application Server 6.1 as specified in section 2.7, the JDK as distributed with this WebSphere version as delivered with the single-server installation process for the TOE.
- The underlying operating system for the Active Directory adapter is Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition Release 2
- The underlying environment for the TDI based Oracle Database adapter is Tivoli Directory Integrator 6.1.1, and the Java Runtime Environment provided.
- The Oracle JDBC driver provided for the Oracle Database Adapter for Windows is the JDBC 10.2.0.1.0 Driver.
- IBM Tivoli Directory Server Version 6.0, 6.1 or 6.2 as LDAP v3 compatible directory server.
- IBM Tivoli Directory Integrator Server version 6.1.1
• The Relational Database Management System (or, transaction database) is either
  o Oracle Version 10g.
• For access to the presentation services (i.e. the user and administration interface), the following
  web browsers are allowed:
  o Microsoft Internet Explorer 6.0 with Service Pack 1
  o Mozilla 1.7.x
  o Firefox 2.0

Note: The Java runtime environment of the WebSphere Application Server (WAS) as underlying
system for the ITIM Server provides a level of abstraction that makes the ITIM Server component of
the TOE independent from any native operating system in terms of functionality provided to support
the TOE. Consequently, this Security Target makes no further restriction on the native operating
system the Web Application Server for the ITIM Server runs on.

2.9. TOE Security Functionality
The TOE provides the following security functionality:

• Auditing of activities
  The TOE is capable of auditing internal events (e.g. the modification of provisioning policies or
  the creation of new users) by generating audit information for all transactions that is stored in a
  data base provided by the IT environment. The TOE offers functionality to review these audit
  records.

• Identification and authentication
  The TOE identifies users (including administrators) by user name and authenticates them by
  password. ITIM users are persons having an account on the TOE, they can be organized by
  membership to ITIM groups.

  The user identities are stored in a directory server provided by the IT environment. Only hashes
  of the passwords are stored in the TOE. Password policies can be applied to enforce requirements
  on the quality of the password that a user chooses. Lockout mechanisms prevent password
  guessing attacks.
• Authorization (access control)

The ITIM server performs authorization for user actions, commonly referred to as requests, based on Access Control Items (ACI). ACIs can be assigned to ITIM groups and ACI principals (e.g. administrators). The TOE includes by default the following pre-defined ITIM groups:

• Administrator: the administrator group has no limits set by default views or access control items and can access all views and perform all operations in Tivoli Identity Manager. The first system administrator user is named "itim manager".

• Auditor: members of this group can request reports for audit purposes.

• Help Desk Assistant: members of this group can request, change, suspend, restore, and delete accounts. Members can request, change, and delete access, and also can reset others’ passwords, profiles, and accounts. Additionally, members can delegate activities for a user.

• Manager: members of this group are users who manage the accounts, profiles, and passwords of their direct subordinates.

• Service Owner: members of this group manage a service, including the user accounts and requests for that service.

Additional groups can be defined by the administrators. An ITIM user with no other group membership has a basic privilege to use the TOE (defined by a set of ACIs and view defaults).

ACIs can be created, modified, or deleted by either a system administrator or explicitly entitled users. Members of the pre-defined Administrator group are not subject to any access control.

• Provisioning

Provisioning policies define the services the persons belonging to an organizational role shall have access to. If a person belongs to an organizational role defined within the TOE, and a provisioning policy specifies the entitlement of this organizational role to a certain service, the person is entitled to have an account on this service. Such an account may be created upon request of the user by interaction with the TOE (if the person belongs to an ITIM group), may be manually created by administrator request, or may be automatically created for the person during periodic policy enforcement.

• Service Reconciliation and Identity Feeds

The TOE provides the capability of gathering account information from managed resources. Reconciliation retrieves and compares user information stored on a managed resource with the
corresponding data stored in the Tivoli Identity Manager database.

Reconciliation is the process of synchronizing the accounts and supporting data to the TOE from a managed resource. Reconciliation is required when accounts and supporting data can be changed on the managed resource so that TOE data is consistent and up-to-date with the remote resource.

Data can be imported via Identity Feeds as well: user data (i.e. person, or identity, information) can be imported into an Organization managed by the TOE. This functionality releases the administrator from adding a potentially large number of persons manually to the TOE’s database and allows automated reconciliation with systems used for human resource management within an organization.
3. TOE Security Environment

3.1. Assumptions

The description of assumptions describes the security aspects of the environment in which the TOE will be used or is intended to be used. This includes the following:

- information about the intended usage of the TOE, including such aspects as the intended application, potential asset value, and possible limitations of use; and
- information about the environment of use of the TOE, including physical, personnel, and connectivity aspects.

3.1.1 Intended usage of the TOE

A.CONFIGURATION  It is assumed that in the evaluated configuration all configuration measures as indicated in section 2.8 are applied.

3.1.2 Environment of use of the TOE

Physical aspects:

A.PHYS_PROT The machine(s) providing the runtime environment for the TOE need to be protected against unauthorized physical access and modification.

Personnel aspects:

A.ADMIN The system administrative personnel for the TOE and the underlying systems of the TOE are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the administrator guidance. They are well trained to securely and trustworthy administer all aspects of TOE operation in accordance with this Security Target.

They will perform administration activities from a secure environment using terminals and / or workstations they trust via secured connections to the ITIM server.

They will protect their passwords used for authentication against the TOE. Passwords must not be disclosed to any other individual. Passwords must be securely transmitted to users if generated on
behalf of those users.

**A.USER**

Users of the TOE originate from a well managed user community as described in section 3.2.

They will protect their passwords used for authentication against the TOE. Passwords must not be disclosed to any other individual.

**Connectivity aspects:**

**A.AGENT**

It is assumed that the runtime environment for an adapter operates as specified with respect to the interfaces exposed to the TOE for exchange of account information and provides adequate protection measures against tampering with the adapter and its interfaces.

**A.DIRECTORY**

The directory server used by the TOE provides protection mechanisms against unauthorized access to TSF data stored in the directory.

**A.RDBMS**

The RDBMS used by the TOE provides protection mechanisms against unauthorized access to TSF data stored in the database.

**A.SERVER**

The machine(s) providing the runtime environment for all parts of the TOE other than the ones where the managed resources are hosted are assumed to be used solely for this purpose and are not used to run other application software except those required for the management and maintenance of the underlying system and hardware.

Especially it is assumed that the underlying system(s) are configured in such a way that no unauthorized access to functions provided by the underlying web application server and operating system software (including network services) is possible either locally or via any network connection.

**3.2. Threats**

The security threats that need to be countered by the TOE or by the TOE environment are listed below.

The assets to be protected by the TOE comprise the information processed and transmitted by the TOE. The term “information” is used here to refer to all data held within the TOE or parts of the
TOE. The TOE counters the general threat of unauthorized access to information, where “access” includes disclosure, modification and destruction.

The assets to be protected are therefore:

- information related to persons, accounts, organizational structures, users, organizational roles and groups
- provisioning policies, password policies, service definitions, workflows, ACIs and other policies maintained by the TOE
- authentication and transaction security credentials

The threat agents can be categorized as either

- unauthenticated individuals, i.e. entities not known to the TOE but having network-based access to the communications interfaces exposed by the TOE
- authorized users of the TOE, i.e. individuals who have successfully authenticated themselves to the TOE and may access resources as defined by the Access Control Item via the user and administrative interface

The threat agents are assumed to originate from a well managed user community in a non-hostile working environment, and hence the product protects against threats of inadvertent or casual attempts to breach the system security. The TOE is not intended to be applicable to circumstances in which protection is required against determined attempts by hostile and well funded attackers to breach system security. An example of an intended environment is a company intranet well protected from external attacks and with an overall user community (including unauthenticated users) that can be assumed to be non-hostile. System administrators of the TOE as well as those for the underlying systems, Web Application Server, Transaction Data Base and Directory Server used are assumed to be trustworthy, trained and to follow the instructions provided to them with respect to the secure configuration and operation of the systems under their responsibility.

The threats listed below are grouped according to whether or not they are countered by the TOE. Threats that are not countered by the TOE are to be countered by environmental or external measures.

### 3.2.1 Threats to be countered by the TOE

**T.BYPASS**

An attacker accesses protected resources of the TOE in a way that bypasses the TSF, exploiting non-TSF portions of the TOE.
**T.UNAUTHORIZED**  
An attacker (possibly, but not necessarily, a person allowed to use the TOE) gains access to TSF data or user data that he is not authorized to have access to.

### 3.2.2 Threat to be countered by the TOE environment

**TE.COM_ATT**  
An attacker intercepts communication between the TOE and an external entity or between different parts of the TOE in order to get access to confidential information, to impersonate as an authorized user or as part of the TOE or to manipulate the data transmitted between the TOE and an external or internal entity.

### 3.3. Organizational Security Policies

The following organizational security policies are deemed appropriate in a security environment for the TOE:

**P.ACCOUNTABILITY**  
The users of the TOE shall be held accountable for security-relevant transactions they have requested.

**P.FEED**  
Account and person data imported into the TOE during Service Reconciliation or via Identity Feed must be properly associated with the corresponding data already existent in the TOE data store.

Person information stored in an external data store and subject to import via Identity Feed is managed in a way that allows proper association with the person information and organizational structure as defined within the TOE.

**P.PROVISION**  
The provisioning of account and access entitlements on a remote service shall only be entitled to persons that are subject to a corresponding provisioning policy. Account data provided to managed resources must be interpreted consistently and managed as requested by the TOE.
4. **Security Objectives**

This section defines the security objectives for the TSF and its supporting environment. Security objectives are categorized as IT security objectives for the TOE or the IT environment as well as non-IT security objectives to be met by organizational means in the TOE environment.
4.1. Security Objectives for the TOE

O.ACI
The TSF must ensure that only authorized users gain access to the TOE and the resources it protects. Access control shall be governed by access control rules that may authorize access for single users or groups of users to single resources or groups of resources. Administrators shall not be restricted in accessing arbitrary resources.

O.AUDIT
The TSF must generate information about the status of security relevant transactions for recording. The TSF must present this information to authorized users.

O.FEED
The TSF must ensure that account and person data imported into the TOE during Service Reconciliation or via Identity Feed are properly associated with the corresponding data already existent in the TOE database.

O.I&A
The TSF must authenticate users and administrators which request access to the TOE and its resources.

O.PROVISION
The TSF must ensure that account and access entitlement on a managed resource is only provided for persons that are entitled to the corresponding service.

4.2. Security Objectives for the IT Environment

OE.AUDIT
The runtime environment for the ITIM server must provide a reliable time source for audit record generation.

OE.COM_PROT
Communication of TOE external entities with the TOE as well as communication between physically distributed parts of the TOE must be secured to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of the communication.

OE.DB_PROT
The data base in the IT environment used by the TOE to store TSF data and user data must protect such data against unauthorized
OE.DIR_PROT  The LDAP server in the IT environment used by the TOE to store TSF data and user data must protect such data against unauthorized access.

OE.ENFORCEMENT  The runtime environment for the TOE must provide a dedicated execution domain for the TOE to protect it from untrusted subjects.

OE.MANAGED  Each managed resource exchanging account data with the TOE must interpret this data in a consistent way and perform the account management actions requested by the TOE.
4.3. **Non-IT Security Objectives for the Environment**

**OE.ADMIN**
Those responsible for the TOE shall ensure that the TOE and underlying system administrative personnel – as well as administrators for the user registry, transaction data base and identity feeds – are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the administrator guidance. They must be well trained to securely administer all aspects of TOE installation, configuration and operation in accordance with this Security Target and initiate administrative actions from a secure environment using terminals and / or workstations they trust via secured connections to the ITIM server.

They do not disclose their passwords to others and securely transmit passwords they have generated for users to those users.

**OE.AGENT**
Those responsible for the TOE shall seek confidence that the runtime environment for an adapter operates as specified and provides adequate protection measures against tampering with the adapter and its interfaces.

**OE.FEED**
Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that the information provided by any Enterprise Identity Data Store in the IT environment that will be used for data import into the TOE allows proper association with the persons and their position in the organizational hierarchy as managed by the TOE.

**OE.SERVER**
Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that the machines providing the runtime environment for the TOE are protected against physical attack, which might compromise IT security objectives.

All parts of the TOE other than the adapters must be the only application(s) installed on the machines hosting their runtime environment, and the underlying systems must be configured in a way that prevents unauthorized access to the TOE.
**OE.USER**

Those responsible for the TOE shall control the user community that can request access to resources protected by the TOE. This includes a configuration where the client systems allowed to submit requests to the TOE are controlled (e.g., a company internal network with a known and controlled user community protected against unauthorized access from external networks).

Users must not disclose their passwords to others.
5. IT Security Requirements

This chapter defines the security requirements for the TOE as well as for the IT environment.

Chapter 5.1 defines the security requirements for the TOE itself, separated into security functional requirements and security assurance requirements. Those requirements use the appropriate Common Criteria functional and assurance components with all the required operations performed. Assignments and selections performed in this ST have been marked in bold. In addition some refinements to SFRs as defined in the Common Criteria have been applied. Those are marked in bold, italics and underlined.

Chapter 5.2 defines the security requirements for the IT environment, separate for each component within the environment. The security functional requirements defined in this section try to identify a minimum set of requirements needed to provide for an IT environment that is able to support the TSF.

5.1. TOE Security Requirements

Table 1 identifies the security functional requirements that have been derived from CC Part 2, and the operations that have been applied to them in this Security Target.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Performed Operations in ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.2</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.2</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>iteration, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>iteration, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ETC.2</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFR</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Performed Operations in ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_AFL.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, selection, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (AFC)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration, selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_SOS.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.2</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_USB.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, selection, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ACF)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ETC)</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMF.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_RVM.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, refinement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Managed Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Performed Operations in ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, refinement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Directory Server**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Performed Operations in ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment, iteration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transaction Data Base Server**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Performed Operations in ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAU_STG.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>assignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Secure Network Session**
Table 1: Operations applied to SFRs derived from CC Part 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Performed Operations in ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPT_ITT.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP_ITC.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_SEP.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_STM.1</td>
<td>CC Part 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1 TOE Security Functional Requirements

5.1.1.1 Security audit

**FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation**

FAU_GEN.1.1 The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:

a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;

b) All auditable events for the **not specified** level of audit; and

c) the following auditable events:

- ACI Management (Add, Add Authorization Owner, Delete, Delete Authorization Owner, Modify)
- Account Management (Add, Adopt, Change Password, Delete, Modify, Orphan, Password Pickup, Restore, Suspend, Synchronize Password)
- Access Management (Add, Remove)
- Access Configuration (Add, Remove, Modify)
- Authentication (Authenticate ITIM user)
- Container Management (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Delegate Authority (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Entitlement Workflow Management (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Entity Operation Management (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Tivoli Identity Manager Configuration (Add, Delete, Enforce, Install Profile, Modify, Uninstall Profile)
- Group Management (Add, Add Member, Delete, Modify, Remove Member)
- Migration (Agent Profile Install, Start Export, Start Import, Stop Export, Stop Import)
- Role Management (Add, Add Member, Delete, Modify, Remove Member)
- Person Management (Add, Delete, Modify, Restore, Self Register, Suspend, Transfer)
- Policy Management (Add, Commit Draft, Delete, Enforce Entire Policy, Modify, Save as Draft, Add Account Template, Change Account Template, Remove Account Template)
- Reconciliation (Run Recon, Set Recon Unit, Set Service Recon Parameters)
- Runtime Events (Start Tivoli Identity Manager, Stop Tivoli Identity Manager)
- Self Password Change (Change Password, Reset Password)
- Service Management (Add, Add Adoption Rule, Delete, Delete Adoption Rule, Modify, Modify Adoption Rule)
- Service Policy Enforcement (Correct Non-Compliant, Mark Non-Compliant, Suspend Non-Compliant, Use Global Setting, Use Workflow For Non-Compliant)

FAU_GEN.1.2 The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:

a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and

b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, no additional information.

Application Note: The TOE does not provide audit records as in FAU_GEN.1.1 a) for the start and stop of the audit functions. However, the TOE ensures by design that none of the auditable events in
FAU_GEN.1.1 b) and c) can take place without being recorded: requests cannot be processed without the TOE and the RDBMS in the IT environment being available. If requests are processed, audit records are created by the same component that processes the requests. There is no separate audit function that could be started or stopped.

Application Note: The TOE views auditable events as requests. In general, a request can be issued by a subject that is distinct from the subject which is the target of the request. This leads to the distinction of requestor (i.e. the subject identity issuing a request) and requestee (i.e. the subject identity that is target of the requested action).

**FAU GEN.2 User identity association**

FAU_GEN.2.1 The TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.

**FAU_SAR.1 Audit review**

FAU_SAR.1.1 The TSF shall provide administrators and other authorized users with the capability to read all audit information from the audit records.

FAU_SAR.1.2 The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

Application Note: A user being member of the Administrator ITIM group can read all audit information. All users can read audit information related to transactions, or requests, originated by them. Users might be explicitly authorized to read additional audit information.

**FAU_SAR.2 Restricted audit review**

FAU_SAR.2.1 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the audit records, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.

**5.1.2 User data protection**

**FDP_ACC.1 (ETC) Subset access control**

FDP_ACC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the Provisioning access control SFP on
• persons as subjects,
• services (representing managed resources) as objects and
• the provisioning of user accounts for a person on managed resources due to positive entitlement decision.

FDP_ACC.2 (ACF) Complete access control

FDP_ACC.2.1 The TSF shall enforce the ITIM access control SFP on

• TOE users as subjects,
• The following entity types as objects: accounts, account default templates, admin domains, business partner organizations, business partner persons, dynamic organizational roles, ITIM groups, service groups, locations, organizational units, persons, identity policies, password policies, provisioning policies, recertification policies, service selection policies, reports, services, static organizational roles, and workflow designs
• TOE users, access control information and audit data as objects

and all operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP.

FDP_ACC.2.2 The TSF shall ensure that all operations between any subject in the TSC and any object within the TSC are covered by an access control SFP.

FDP_ACF.1 (ACF) Security attribute based access control

FDP_ACF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the ITIM access control SFP to objects based on the following: administrator-specified access control information, user names and ITIM groups.

FDP_ACF.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: By examining the ACIs that apply to an entity due to the ACI’s focus specified by origin, target, and scope,

• read access to an entity’s attribute
  • is explicitly allowed if the ACI specifies “Grant” for that attribute
is explicitly denied if the ACI specifies “Deny” for that attribute
- is implicitly denied if the ACI specifies “None” for that attribute

- write access to an entity’s attribute
  - is explicitly allowed if the ACI specifies “Grant” for that attribute
  - is explicitly denied if the ACI specifies “Deny” for that attribute
  - is implicitly denied if the ACI specifies “None” for that attribute

- an explicit grant of a write operation by one ACI overrides an explicit or implicit denial of a read operation by other ACI on the same attribute.

- operation on an entity
  - is explicitly allowed if the ACI specifies “Grant” for the operation
  - is explicitly denied if the ACI specifies “Deny” for the operation
  - is implicitly denied if the ACI specifies “None” for the operation

- an explicit denial by one ACI overrides an explicit grant by other ACIs on the same operation.

- an explicit grant by one ACI overrides an implied denial by other ACIs on the same operation.

- in cases of multiple ITIM Group memberships, a person’s access is enabled based on the widest privilege granted to any of their ITIM Groups. However, if a type of access is explicitly denied to an ITIM Group of which the person is a member, that access denial overrides the access permissions of the rest of the ITIM groups.

Application Note: Available operations and attributes in an ACI depend on the protection category/class to which the ACI belongs.

FDP_ACF.1.3 The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules:

- Membership of the Administrator group authorizes access to all objects.

FDP_ACF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the no additional rules.

Application Note: Out of the box, TIM provides the following predefined ITIM groups: Administrator, Auditor, Help Desk Assistant, Manager and Service Owner. Each ITIM group includes a default view and default ACIs; none of the groups include ITIM users with the exception of
the Administrator group which includes "itim manager". A default view and default ACIs are also provided for ITIM users that do not belong to an ITIM group.

**FDP_ACF.1 (ETC) Security attribute based access control**

**FDP_ACF.1.1** The TSF shall enforce the **Provisioning access control SFP** to objects based on the following: **entitlement rules, persons and person attributes indicating organizational relationships.**

**FDP_ACF.1.2** The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed:

- **For each account provisioning initiated on a managed resource the corresponding person must be entitled to such an account on the corresponding service.**

**FDP_ACF.1.3** The TSF shall explicitly authorize access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: **none.**

**FDP_ACF.1.4** The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on **no additional rules.**

**FDP_ETC.2 Export of user data with security attributes**

**FDP_ETC.2.1** The TSF shall enforce the **Provisioning access control SFP** when exporting user data, controlled under the SFP(s), outside of the TSC.

**FDP_ETC.2.2** The TSF shall export the user data with the user data's associated security attributes.

**FDP_ETC.2.3** The TSF shall ensure that the security attributes, when exported outside the TSC, are unambiguously associated with the exported user data.

**FDP_ETC.2.4** The TSF shall enforce the following rules when user data is exported from the TSC:
• Administrator-defined provisioning workflows associated with an entitlement and the managed resource respectively, if part of the provisioning policy;
• The following conflict resolution rule:
  o In case of conflicting provisioning policies (i.e. a person is entitled to a service by more than one provisioning policy, with different attribute values for the entitlements), the conflict is resolved by the join directives for the most specific provisioning policies.

Application Note: The provisioning of user accounts on remote resources is considered export of user data: provisioning policies processed on the ITIM server trigger the export of account data via an adapter (which is part of the TOE) to the underlying managed resource of the adapter (which is part of the IT environment). This is modeled in terms of an access control policy – based on the provisioning (i.e. access control) policies, persons are granted access (in form of user accounts) to remote resources. This component specifies additional consistency rules for the provisioning process.

5.1.3 Identification and authentication

FIA AFL.1 Authentication failure handling

FIA_AFL.1.1 The TSF shall detect when an administrator configurable positive integer within 1 and 5 unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to password-based client authentication.

FIA_AFL.1.2 When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been met or surpassed, the TSF shall suspend the ITIM user account.

FIA ATD.1 (ACF) User attribute definition

FIA_ATD.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users:

• user name
• authentication credentials
• ITIM group memberships
**FIA_ATD.1 (ETC) User attribute definition**

FIA_ATD.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual persons:

- unique identifier (common and distinguished person name)
- organizational relationships
- account data:
  - aliases for user names associated with a person (optional)
  - status of the person (active, inactive)
  - authentication credentials for accounts

Application Note: The “user name for account on ITIM service” is referred to as “user” in the security functional requirements for the TOE, cf. also the information provided in section 2.5.

Application Note: While group and role memberships are considered security attributes that belong to individual persons, the implementation of the TOE merely maintains groups and roles as dedicated objects with person distinguished names as attributes, instead of assigning group and role attributes to individual persons.

**FIA_SOS.1 Verification of secrets**

FIA_SOS.1.1 The TSF shall provide a mechanism to verify that secrets meet the following Password Policy:

- minimum length: 6 characters
- maximum length: not specified
- maximum repeated characters: not specified
- minimum unique characters required: 6 characters
- minimum alphabetic characters required: 5 characters
- minimum numeric characters required: 1 character
- disallow user name: yes
- disallow user ID: yes
- repeated history length: 5
- invalid characters: none
FIA_UAU.2 User authentication before any action

FIA_UAU.2.1 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

Application Note: This instantiation of the SFR focuses on the authentication of ITIM users, i.e. all users that use the web-based interface or Application API to access and manage the TOE.

FIA_UID.2 User identification before any action

FIA_UID.2.1 The TSF shall require each user to identify itself before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

FIA_USB.1 User-subject binding

FIA_USB.1.1 The TSF shall associate the following user security attributes with subjects acting on behalf of that user: ITIM user id, ITIM group membership.

FIA_USB.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules on the initial association of user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of users: none.

FIA_USB.1.3 The TSF shall enforce the following rules governing changes to the user security attributes associated with subjects acting on the behalf of users: none.

5.1.4 Security management

FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes

FMT_MSA.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the ITIM access control SFP to restrict the ability to create, query, modify, delete the security attributes related to persons, users, access control information, entitlement rules, policies, services and workflows to administrators and other authorized users.

FMT_MSA.3 (ACF) Static attribute initialisation

FMT_MSA.3.1 The TSF shall enforce the ITIM access control SFP to provide restrictive default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3.2 The TSF shall allow **no roles** to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

Application Note: The TOE does not offer pre-defined values for new ACIs: no default target objects, operations, attributes or permissions are populated in a new ACI. Upon creation of an ACI, rules have to be created explicitly; “None” as implicit denial is pre-defined for access rights.

**FMT_MSA.3 (ETC) Static attribute initialisation**

FMT_MSA.3.1 The TSF shall enforce the *Provisioning access control SFP* to provide **restrictive** default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.

FMT_MSA.3.2 The TSF shall allow the **administrators** to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

Application Note: Provisioning Policies specifying the Provisioning information flow control SFP have to be explicitly defined by administrators.

**FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions**

FMT_SMF.1.1 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions:

- management of ACIs
- management of entitlement rules
- management of workflows, or additional exportation rules
- management of persons
- management of users
- management of services (representing managed resources)
- management of organizations and organizational units
- management of policies

**FMT_SMR.1 Security roles**

FMT_SMR.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the roles **user, administrator**.
FMT_SMR.1.2  The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

Application Note: All persons having an account on the TOE are actually considered users. However, users that are member of the Administrator group are considered to be in the administrator role. The definition of these two roles in terms of the TSF does not prevent the administrator-specified definition of further ITIM groups to ease maintenance of users. In fact, the TOE comes out of the box with predefined ITIM groups.

5.1.1.5 Protection of the TSF

FPT_RVM.1 Non-bypassability of the TSP

FPT_RVM.1.1  The TSF shall ensure that TSP enforcement functions are invoked and succeed before each function within the TSC is allowed to proceed.

FPT_TDC.1 Inter-TSF basic TSF data consistency

FPT_TDC.1.1  The TSF shall provide the capability to consistently interpret person and account data when shared between the TSF and another trusted IT product.

FPT_TDC.1.2  The TSF shall use the following interpretation rules when interpreting the TSF data from another trusted IT product:

- identity feed:
  If a person is already existent in the TOE data store, the imported person data must be associated with the matching person; otherwise it must be treated as data for a new person.

- service reconciliation:
  The TSF shall associate imported account data with persons in the TOE data store based on the adoption policy that corresponds to the service, if and only if that account data can unambiguously be linked to a person.

Application Note: Accounts that cannot be unambiguously associated to a person during reconciliation are considered orphan accounts.

5.1.2 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

The target evaluation assurance level for the product is EAL3 [CC] augmented by ALC_FLR.1.
5.2. **Security Functional Requirements for the IT Environment**

This section contains security functional requirements that must be fulfilled by the IT environment in order to support the security functionality of the TOE.

Note: the security functional requirements have been refined according to [CC] part 1 B.2.6 to indicate that the IT environment, not the TOE, must meet the requirements. Those refinements are identified by bold typesetting and not subject to the assessment requirements associated with modified CC components.

5.2.1 **Managed Resources**

The TOE provides account data to managed resources in concordance with the provisioning policies that apply for persons managed by the TOE.

This section will identify a SFR for
- ensuring that account data provided to managed resources is interpreted by the managed resource as intended by the TOE.

**FPT_TDC.1 Inter-TSF basic TSF data consistency**

*FPT_TDC.1.1* The **IT environment** shall provide the capability to consistently interpret [account data](#) when shared between the TSF and another trusted IT product.

*FPT_TDC.1.2* The **IT environment** shall use the following interpretation rules when interpreting the TSF data from the TOE:

- The managed resource shall associate the data provided by the TOE by user name with the account data already existent on the managed resource.
- User name and other account data, including passwords, must be utilized by the managed resource without undetected modification.
- Account management requests issued by the TOE (e.g. create, modify, delete user) must be performed as requested.

5.2.2 **Directory Server**

The directory server in the IT environment is interfaced via LDAP and used to store TSF data and user data (e.g. identities, roles, policies, services, and accounts).

This section will identify SFRs for
- protecting the integrity of the stored data by requiring that the TOE, when accessing such data,
needs to be authenticated

**FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication**

FIA_UAU.1.1  The **IT environment** shall allow actions that do not mediate access to or modification of TSF data and user data on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.

FIA_UAU.1.2  The **IT environment** shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other **IT environment**-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

**FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification**

FIA_UID.1.1  The **IT environment** shall allow actions that do not mediate access to or modification of TSF data and user data on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified.

FIA_UID.1.2  The **IT environment** shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other **IT environment**-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

### 5.2.3 Transaction Data Base Server

The RDBMS in the IT environment is interfaced via JDBC and used to store TSF data and user data (transaction data resulting out of user or system generated requests).

This section will identify SFRs for

- protecting the integrity of the stored data by requiring that the TOE, when accessing such data, needs to be authenticated
- protection of the audit, or transaction, records against unauthorized deletion

**FAU_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage**

FAU_STG.1.1  The **IT environment** shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorised deletion.

FAU_STG.1.2  The **IT environment** shall be able to prevent unauthorized modifications to the audit records to the stored audit records in the audit trail.
**FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication**

FIA_UAU.1.1 The *IT environment* shall allow *actions that do not mediate access to or modification of TSF data and user data* on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.

FIA_UAU.1.2 The *IT environment* shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other *IT environment*-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

**FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification**

FIA_UID.1.1 The *IT environment* shall allow *actions that do not mediate access to or modification of TSF data and user data* on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified.

FIA_UID.1.2 The *IT environment* shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other *IT environment*-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

### 5.2.4 Secure Network Sessions

The internal TOE TSF data transfer, as well as the data transfer between the TOE and other trusted IT products, needs to be protected against unauthorized disclosure and modification of the transferred data. This may be done by implementation of an SSL / TLS layer in the IT environment or by otherwise appropriate protection of the network that is used to transfer TSF data and user data.

This section will identify SFRs for
- protecting the integrity and confidentiality of data transferred via network communication between TOE subsystems itself and between TOE subsystems and entities in the IT environment

**FPT_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection**

FPT_ITT.1.1 The *IT environment* shall protect TSF data from *disclosure, modification* when it is transmitted between separate parts of the TOE.

**FTP_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel**

FTP_ITC.1.1 The *IT environment* shall provide a communication channel between the TOE and a remote trusted IT product that is logically distinct from other communication
channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.

FTP_ITC.1.2 The **IT environment** shall permit the **TSF, the remote trusted IT product** to initiate communication via the trusted channel.

FTP_ITC.1.3 The **IT environment** shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for transaction of all **TSF data and user data**.

### 5.2.5 Runtime Environment of the TOE

The Web Application Server providing the runtime environment for the ITIM server needs to provide a reliable time source in order to generate audit records.

Also, in order to support the enforcement of TSF in the TOE, the Web Application Server providing the runtime environment for the TIM server and the Tivoli Directory Integrator server providing the runtime environment for adapters shall provide domain separation functionalities for the TOE’s usage.

**FPT_SEP.1 TSF domain separation**

FPT_SEP.1.1 The **IT environment** shall maintain a security domain for the **TOE’s execution** that protects it from interference and tampering by untrusted subjects.

FPT_SEP.1.2 The **IT environment** shall enforce separation between the security domains of subjects in the **IT environment's scope of control**.

Application Note: The evaluated configuration satisfies this requirement by imposing the assumptions A.AGENT and A.SERVER. In addition, the runtime environment for the ITIM server makes use of Websphere’s role-based authentication model to prevent access to internal subsystem interfaces.

**FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps**

FPT_STM.1.1 The **IT environment** shall be able to provide reliable time stamps for the **TOE’s use**.
6. **TOE Summary Specification**

6.1. **Statement of TOE Security Functions**

The following is a summary of the security functionality provided by the TOE.

6.1.1 **F.I&A**

Identification and authentication of ITIM users is performed and enforced via the API interface of the Applications subsystem. The web user interface supplied with the ITIM server provides a Java/HTML based graphical user interface that can be used by clients to perform a log in and access the functionality provided by the TOE using a web browser. The TOE supplied web user interface as well as other applications (either replacement of the TOE supplied user interface or data feeds from applications of the TOE environment) can access the security functionality of identification and authentication using the API exposed by the Applications subsystem only.

Users are identified by the name associated with their account on the ITIM server and authenticated by password. The TOE enforces administrator-defined password policies for the verification of passwords. The server-based generation of secrets and authentication by answers to questions asked by the ITIM server (challenge-response authentication) is disabled in the evaluated configuration.

If allowed by configuration, ITIM users can change their password via the web interface or any application utilizing the API of the ITIM server. Administrators can change passwords of users as well.

A password expiration time can be configured, specifying a certain amount of time after which a password cannot longer be used for authentication. Also, a maximum number of invalid logon attempts can be specified – if consecutively wrong authentication information (i.e. passwords) is supplied for a certain account on the ITIM service, the account will be suspended after the defined number of wrong authentication attempts.

User credentials (identities and their security attributes) are stored in an external LDAP server. This includes cryptographic hash sums of the passwords used to authenticate ITIM users.

The TOE provisions passwords to other services than the ITIM service as well, i.e. to managed resources in the IT environment. This is done by interfacing with the managed resource’s way of handling passwords and promoting a password for an account to the managed resource. Clients are allowed to alter those passwords via the user interface of the TOE, and such passwords are subject to the password policy that applies to the individual holding the respective accounts on the managed resource. However, the enforcement of identification and authentication for other services than the
ITIM service itself (e.g., the Windows operating system and the Oracle database) is left to the managed resource and therefore not part of this security function. The provisioning of passwords to remote services is addressed in F.Provisioning.

The following mechanisms used to implement this security function are subject to an SOF rating:

- enforcement of the password policy by the ITIM server

Note: Session management for HTTP sessions is used to avoid performing identification and authentication prior to each HTTP request from the user. Session management between clients and the TOE is performed by the underlying Web Application Server.

Note: the TOE in its evaluated configuration uses SSL certificate based authentication for the authentication between the ITIM Server and the TDI server (in case they not run in the same machine) and the ITIM Server and the adapters. The implementation of SSL and therefore this authentication mechanism is part of the IT environment.

6.1.2 F.Authorization

This TSF comprises the authorization of actions requested by ITIM users (including administrators). Each action requested is individually authorized prior to its execution.

Authorization decisions are made and enforced according to Access Control Items (ACI), which specifies whether to deny or grant the execution of an action for a set of objects and subjects.

ACIs define whether a user can perform operations on an entity and read and modify any of its attributes. An entity is a concept or structure in ITIM; examples of entities are Organizations, Persons, Accounts, Organizational Roles, and policies.

All ACIs have in common that they grant (or deny) access requested by clients (either users being member of a dedicated ITIM group; or an ACI Principal being “self”, Supervisors or Domain Administrators) and are evaluated and enforced by the ITIM server.

The focus of an ACI is determined by:

- Its protection category: an ACI controls access to a certain entity type (and an object class in certain categories) which has a specific set of operations and attributes.
- Its object scope: an ACI controls access to entities that belong to a given Business Unit or to one of its subordinates (optional), and meeting certain filter criteria (optional).
- Its subject scope: the subjects to which this ACI is applicable based on their properties (e.g. all users in the system, the account owner, etc.)
• The permissions (grant, deny, none) on the set of operations belonging to the protection category.

• The permissions (grant, deny, none) for read and write operations on the set of attributes belonging to the protection category.

For example, an ACI with the target “account / Oracle Database” would control read and write access to the attributes associated with the Oracle Database service and control access over the remove, search, restore, suspend, add and modify operations for accounts of that service for the ACI Principals and ITIM groups that are specified as being subject to this ACI.

The set of applicable operations on an ACI depends on the protection category as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protection category</th>
<th>Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts</td>
<td>Add, Modify, Remove, Restore, Search, Suspend, Add Service Group, Remove Service Group, View Service Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Group</td>
<td>Define Access, Search, View Access, Recertification Override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User or Business Partner User</td>
<td>Add, Change Password, Modify, Remove, Restore, Search, Suspend, Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Add, Modify, Reconcile, Remove, Search, Recertification Override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Group</td>
<td>Define, View, Search, Recertification Override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Default Template</td>
<td>Modify, Search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all other categories</td>
<td>Add, Modify, Remove, Search</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The set of attributes corresponds to the LDAP class’s attribute of the protection category/class specified for the ACI.

An ACI can be created, modified, or deleted by either a system administrator or a member of an ITIM Group that has been designated an Authorization Owner. Authorization Owner status can be assigned to an ITIM Group, providing its users with the ability to set up and modify ACIs within an Organization or the organizational tree branch at and below the organizational level the status is assigned to.

The TOE recognizes the notion of roles. The pre-defined ITIM Group “Administrator” grants system administrator status to all of its members. A system administrator has complete access to all items of an organization in Tivoli Identity Manager and all of the associated subunits, including policies and services. The Administrator ITIM Group therefore represents the administrator role within the TOE. All non-members are constrained by access control as enforced by this TSF, and are therefore in the user role.
An ITIM user can belong to none, one or more ITIM groups. There exist default ACIs and view for ITIM user that do not belong to any ITIM group. The TOE allows (through a configurable feature) the automatic assignment of a user as member of the Manager Group (if he/she has been indicated in someone’s person record as that person’s supervisor) or the Service Owner Group (if he/she has been indicated in a Service as the Service’s owner).

The TOE recognizes the notion of administrative domains for business units within its model of an organizational structure. A domain administrator can be assigned to an administrative domain, having the privilege of defining and managing provisioning entities, policies, services, workflow definitions, roles, and users within his or her own administrative domain.

ACIs are stored in an external LDAP server.

6.1.3 F.Auditing

The TOE generates audit records for transactions, i.e. user (and administrator) requests. The following request types are covered by the auditing mechanism:

- ACI Management (Add, Add Authorization Owner, Delete, Delete Authorization Owner, Modify)
- Account Management (Add, Adopt, Change Password, Delete, Modify, Orphan, Password Pickup, Restore, Suspend, Synchronize Password)
- Access Management (Add, Remove)
- Access Configuration (Add, Remove, Modify)
- Authentication (Authenticate ITIM user)
- Container Management (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Delegate Authority (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Entitlement Workflow Management (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Entity Operation Management (Add, Delete, Modify)
- Tivoli Identity Manager Configuration (Add, Delete, Enforce, Install Profile, Modify, Uninstall Profile)
- Group Management (Add, Add Member, Delete, Modify, Remove Member)
- Migration (Agent Profile Install, Start Export, Start Import, Stop Export, Stop Import)
- Role Management (Add, Add Member, Delete, Modify, Remove Member)
- Person Management (Add, Delete, Modify, Restore, Self Register, Suspend, Transfer)
- Policy Management (Add, Commit Draft, Delete, Enforce Entire Policy, Modify, Save as
Draft, Add Account Template, Change Account Template, Remove Account Template)

- Reconciliation (Run Recon, Set Recon Unit, Set Service Recon Parameters)
- Runtime Events (Start Tivoli Identity Manager, Stop Tivoli Identity Manager)
- Self Password Change (Change Password, Reset Password)
- Service Management (Add, Add Adoption Rule, Delete, Delete Adoption Rule, Modify, Modify Adoption Rule)
- Service Policy Enforcement (Correct Non-Compliant, Mark Non-Compliant, Suspend Non-Compliant, Use Global Setting, Use Workflow For Non-Compliant)

Audit records can be reviewed by authorized users via the user interface.

Audit records are stored in the transaction data base provided by the IT environment.

6.1.4 F.Provisioning

The TOE provides, by means of connectors and adapters for managed resources, user credentials to managed resources. This is done upon entitlement of an identity to use certain managed resources, or services. Each managed resource is configured in the TOE as a service. A service adheres to a service type (e.g., several services for Windows machines can be defined that all belong to the Windows service type). User identities are entitled to a service, or all services belonging to a service type, based on Provisioning Policies. As a result, a user may (automatically or upon request) be provisioned an account on the services he is entitled to.

Operations of an account on a remote service (creation, modification, deletion, suspension, and restoration) are provided by software adapters that are able to interface with the user or account data base of the managed resource. These adapters are part of the TOE, while the managed resources belong to the IT environment.

A Provisioning Policy may have the following members that can be entitled to a service: all identities in an organization, identities in designated Organizational Roles, or people that are not in any Organizational Role. Provisioning Policies entitle their members to either a specific instance of a service, all instances of a service type (e.g. Windows), a Service Selection Policy, or all services. Additional parameters – depending on the provisioned service (e.g. group membership on the managed resource) – can be configured as part of the Provisioning Policy.

Conflicting Provisioning Policies are evaluated following the join directives specified for those policies. Only the most specific policies will be joined (e.g. if policies for single service instances exist as well as policies for the service type, only the ones for service instances will be evaluated).
The entitlement to a service may be associated with a Workflow that is executed each time the Provisioning Policy is applied. Workflows can be specified by the administrator to reflect special business processes, as a rule involving interactions with users, for provisioning actions. For example, such a workflow could require management approval before a service is provisioned to an identity which is entitled to that service (e.g. the approval of a Supervisor assigned to a business unit in ITIM). Workflows can be designed using the GUI and by specifying additional JavaScript extensions to be executed.

The manual service type allows you to manage user accounts on a target resource manually. Account requests are routed to a specific user rather than a service provider so that it can be handled manually or by using other tools outside of Tivoli Identity Manager Server. These are resources for which at least one of the following statements apply: there is no adapter currently available to perform the provisioning, and it is not possible or practical to develop a custom adapter; some or all of the provisioning activity requires a person to perform the necessary setup process; or the administrator simply chooses to perform the task manually.

As a special case, Provisioning Policies do not only mandate the entitlement to (and provisioning of) accounts for services in the IT environment, but also to the “ITIM service”, i.e. for accounts to access the TOE itself. The existence of a person (or identity) in the organizational structures managed by the TOE does not grant access to the TOE itself – only users (and administrators) that are provisioned an account on the TOE are then able to access the (security) functionality provided by the TOE.

An administrator-specified Identity Policy may be used to define how the account name for an identity is specified on the managed resource. This is not considered security relevant, though.

An external LDAP server is used to store the appropriate provisioning policies. Workflow definitions are stored in the relational database.

### 6.1.5 F.Data_Feed

In addition to the distribution of account data to managed resources via F.Provisioning the TOE provides the capability of gathering account information from managed resources. Reconciliation retrieves and compares user information stored on a managed resource with equivalent data stored in the Tivoli Identity Manager database. The TOE updates account information for an identity (if such account information is already present in its database) to reflect the information gathered from the service.

Optionally, all accounts returned from the service during the reconciliation that have an owner are then evaluated against corresponding Provisioning Policies. A Provisioning Policy defines (as
configured by the administrator) how to handle deviations, e.g. a group membership on the service
that is mandated by the Provisioning Policy but not existent according to the gathered account
information. Options for such policy enforcement are either to mark non-compliance with a flag, to
suspend a non-compliant account on the managed service or to correct the non-compliance on the
service in a way that establishes conformance with the Provisioning Policy.

Reconciliation uses an adoption policy to determine the owner of an account, or to identify the
account as an orphan. If no ownership for the account can be established during reconciliation, the
account is identified as an orphan for the service instance. Subsequently, an administrator can
manually assign orphan accounts to persons, suspend or delete orphan accounts. Adoption policies
can be defined at a global level, for a service type, or for a particular service instance.

Reconciled account data is processed on a per-service basis by the TOE, i.e. changes based on
reconciliation only affect accounts provisioned for the corresponding service. The TOE also allows
reconciliation for manual services (where provisioning is not enforced by the TOE but by human
action) using an import file in CSV format (manual reconciliation).

The TOE also offers identity feed service types to import user data (i.e. person, or identity
information) into an Organization managed by the TOE. This functionality prevents the administrator
from adding a potentially large number of persons manually to the TOE’s database and allows
automated synchronicity with systems used for human resource management within an organization.

Service types provided by the TOE are: DSML (using an XML file in DSMLv1 format), AD
(connecting to a Windows Active Directory), CSV (using a comma separated value file), IDI data
feed (connecting to IBM Tivoli Directory Integrator using DSMLv2), and INetOrgPerson (connecting
to a LDAP server). Identities not yet known to the TOE are automatically added into the
organizational structure.

The usage of the JNDI Service Provider, which allows the TOE to receive event notification of
changes (and requires ITIM to act as a server), is not part of the evaluated configuration.

6.2. Assurance Measures

The following table provides an overview of the assurance measures that meet the security assurance
requirements from section 5.1.2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assurance Component</th>
<th>Description how the requirements are met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACM_CAP.3</td>
<td>IBM uses three configuration management systems: CVS for source code and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance Component</td>
<td>Description how the requirements are met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>test documentation; and CMVC for guidance documentation. CMVC is also used for defect tracking, including security related issues. For design documentation and test documentation also Lotus Team Room is used as a configuration management tool. All systems are capable to authenticate users and restrict the access of individual users to configuration items. A CM plan describes the use of those tools within the development environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACM_SCP.1</td>
<td>As mentioned above, source code, design documentation, user and administrator documentation as well as test documentation are maintained within the CM system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADO_DEL.1</td>
<td>Delivery procedures are described as part of the developer documentation. This includes also the measures taken to ensure the integrity and authenticity of the TOE during the delivery process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADO_IGS.1</td>
<td>The guidance documentation provided to the customer includes a detailed description how to install and configure the individual components that define the TOE. Additional guidance for the installation and configuration of exactly the evaluated configuration is provided as part of the guidance documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADV_FSP.1</td>
<td>The TSFI are identified in a separate document which points to the documents describing the different interfaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADV_HLD.2</td>
<td>A high level design document exists that describes the internal structure of the TOE into subsystems, how the security functions of the TOE are implemented and how the subsystems contribute to the security functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADV_RCR.1</td>
<td>Correspondence between the TSF as defined in the TOE summary specification and the functional specification as well as correspondence between the functional specification and the high level design is provided in form of commented tables that show the correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGD_ADM.1</td>
<td>Administrator guidance documents exist for the ITIM server and adapters comprising the TOE. They describe the administrative tasks, the commands to be used and the different management aspects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance Component</td>
<td>Description how the requirements are met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGD_USR.1</td>
<td>User guidance exists for the TOE, providing instructions for users on how to use the basic functionality offered to manage their own identities and accounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALC_DVS.1</td>
<td>The security measures for the IBM development environment are derived from the IBM Global documents that define the minimum requirements for the physical and organizational security.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALC_FLR.1</td>
<td>Problems that are reported either from the development process or by a customer will result in a “defect” that is managed with DevTrack. Defects are classified with respect to their impact and one of the possible classifications is “security”. Since all defects are tracked and managed, it is easily possible to extract all security relevant defects, their status and what has been done to fix them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE_COV.2</td>
<td>Testing is performed as functional verification testing using defined test suites in accordance with defined test procedures as described in the test plan. Coverage of security functions is provided in form of a table showing which test cases test which security functions at which interface. The table shows that all security functions and their parameter are tested at the interfaces defined in the functional specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE_DPT.1</td>
<td>A mapping is produced that shows the mapping of test cases to details defined in the high level design. The mapping shows that those details are covered by test cases and the test cases themselves show that the TOE operates in accordance with its high level design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE_FUN.1</td>
<td>A test plan is provided that describes the test procedures, test cases, purpose of each test and expected results. Records of actual tests performed and their results are maintained under CM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE_IND.2</td>
<td>Independent testing is performed as part of the evaluation by the evaluation facility. The developer’s test plan and test cases as well as the TOE suitable for testing will be provided to the evaluation facility such that all the test cases can be repeated by the independent evaluator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVA_MSU.1</td>
<td>An analysis of the user provided documentation describing the installation and configuration, the administrator interface and commands and the configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance Component</td>
<td>Description how the requirements are met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>files is performed to ensure that those documents are consistent and provide all the required guidance for an administrator to install, configure and administer the TOE in a secure manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVA_SOF.1</td>
<td>A strength of function analysis is provided for the mechanisms based on permutational or probabilistic properties to demonstrate that those mechanisms have a strength of SOF-medium or better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVA_VLA.1</td>
<td>A process is in place and documented to search for vulnerabilities of the TOE using open sources of vulnerabilities on the Internet like CVE or CERT advisories. The results of this process are documented and provide the developer vulnerability analysis as required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. PP claims

This Security Target does not claim conformance to any protection profile.
8. **Rationale**

This chapter provides the rationale for the selection of security objectives and requirements within this Security Target.

8.1. **Security Objectives Rationale**

8.1.1 **Security Objectives Coverage**

The mapping in Table 2 indicates how each security objective for the TOE is traced back to at least one threat or organizational security policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Threat / OSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.ACI</td>
<td>T.BYPASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T.UNAUTHORIZED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.AUDIT</td>
<td>P.ACCOUNTABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T.BYPASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.FEED</td>
<td>P.FEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.I&amp;A</td>
<td>P.ACCOUNTABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T.UNAUTHORIZED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.PROVISION</td>
<td>P.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: security objectives traced back to threats and organizational security policies*

The mappings in Table 3 and Table 4 indicate how each security objective for the environment is traced back to at least one assumption, threat or organizational security policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective (IT Environment)</th>
<th>Threat / OSP / Assumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OE.AUDIT</td>
<td>P.ACCOUNTABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.COM_PROT</td>
<td>TE.COM_ATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.DB_PROT</td>
<td>A.RDBMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P.ACCOUNTABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.DIR_PROT</td>
<td>A.DIRECTORY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: security objectives for the IT environment traced back to threats, organizational security policies and assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective (non-IT Environment)</th>
<th>Threat / OSP / Assumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OE.ADMIN</td>
<td>A.ADMIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.CONFIGURATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.AGENT</td>
<td>A.AGENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.CONFIGURATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.FEED</td>
<td>P.FEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.SERVER</td>
<td>A.PHYS_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.SERVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE.USER</td>
<td>A.USER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: security objectives for the non-IT environment traced back to threats, organizational security policies and assumptions

8.1.2 Security Objectives Sufficiency

The following arguments provide justification that the security objectives are suitable to counter each single threat and that each security objective tracing back to a threat, when achieved, actually contributes to the removal, diminishing or mitigation of that threat:

| T.BYPASS | O.ACI requires that all client requests be subject to authorization before they are performed, therefore contributing to the sufficient mitigation of the threat of bypassing security functions. O.AUDIT provides additional mitigation by providing a mechanism to administrators for reviewing security-relevant activities executed by the system, allowing them to detect the unauthorized execution of functions. This is supported by requiring a trusted execution domain for the TOE in the IT environment in OE.ENFORCEMENT. |
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T.UNAUTHORIZED  
O.ACI seeks to implement an authorization mechanism in order to control access to resources protected by the TOE on a need-to-know basis. This is supported by requiring authentication of users in O.I&A.

TE.COM_ATT  
OE.COM_PROT requires the protection of communication in order to remove the threat of disclosure of or tampering with TSF data and user data.

---

Table 5: sufficiency of objectives countering threats

The following arguments provide justification that the security objectives are suitable to cover each single organization security policy, that each security objective that traces back to an OSP, when achieved, actually contributes to the implementation of the OSP, and that if all security objectives that trace back to an OSP are achieved, the OSP is implemented:

| P.ACCOUNTABILITY | O.I&A provides the means of uniquely identifying (and authenticating) users in a way that makes audit records traceable to single users.
|                  | O.AUDIT establishes accountability of requested transactions by requiring the generation of appropriate audit records for such transactions and the functionality to make this audit records available to authorized users.
|                  | OE.AUDIT supports the generation of audit records by providing a reliable time source.
|                  | OE.DB_PROT protects the audit records that are stored in the transaction data base.

| P.FEED | O.FEED requires that the TOE offers a consistent way of relating imported user data to data already present in the TOE’s data store.
|        | OE.FEED covers the assumption on proper management of data in identity feeds that are used as sources for the TOE by requiring that such data is managed in a way that can be used for data import.

| P.PROVISION | O.PROVISION requires the establishment of entitlements for persons in order to be subject to account provisioning on managed
OE.MANAGED requests consistent interpretation of account data provided to managed resources.

Table 6: sufficiency of objectives implementing OSPs

The following arguments provide justification that the security objectives for the environment are suitable to cover each single assumption, that each security objective for the environment that traces back to an assumption about the environment of use of the TOE, when achieved, actually contributes to the environment achieving consistency with the assumption, and that if all security objectives for the environment that trace back to an assumption are achieved, the intended usage is supported:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.ADMIN</th>
<th>OE.ADMIN covers the assumption on administrators that are non-hostile and abide by the instructions provided, by requiring that administrators for the TOE show such qualities. They will also protect passwords as assumed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.AGENT</td>
<td>OE.AGENT covers the assumption that the managed resources interact as specified with the TOE’s adapters and protect the adapter against tampering by requiring that this is ensured in the IT environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.CONFIGURATION</td>
<td>OE.ADMIN requires secure configuration and operation of the TOE and well trained administrators that abide by the instructions provided – this ensures a proper setup of the evaluated configuration as assumed. Proper configuration of the adapters that are part of the TOE is also supported by OE.AGENT, which requires protection measures to prevent tampering with the adapter and its interfaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.DIRECTORY</td>
<td>OE.DIR_PROT covers the assumption on TSF data and user data protection by the LDAP server in the IT environment by requiring protection of the data stored in the LDAP server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.PHYS_PROT</td>
<td>OE.SERVER requires that the ITIM server is physically protected, thus covering the corresponding assumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.RDBMS</td>
<td>OE.DB_PROT covers the assumption on TSF data and user data protection by the transaction data base in the IT environment by</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
requiring protection of the data stored in the RDBMS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.SERVER</th>
<th>OE.SERVER requires a configuration and operation of the TOE that limits the usage of the runtime environment for the ITIM server to TOE purposes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.USER</td>
<td>OE.USER covers the assumption on non-hostile users which protect their passwords by requiring a controlled user community having access to the TOE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 7: sufficiency of objectives covering assumptions*

### 8.2. Security Requirements Rationale

This chapter provides the rationale for the selection of security requirements. In addition to this rationale, chapter 5 includes application notes for several security functional requirements to further improve the interpretation of those requirements with respect to an ST-conformant implementation of the TOE.

#### 8.2.1 Security Requirements Coverage

The following tables illustrate which security objectives are implemented by which security functional requirements. Table 8 indicates how each TOE security functional requirement can be traced back to at least one security objective for the TOE, Table 9 indicates how each functional security requirement for the IT environment can be traced back to at least one security objective for the environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>O.AUDIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.2</td>
<td>O.AUDIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.1</td>
<td>O.AUDIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.2</td>
<td>O.AUDIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)</td>
<td>O.ACI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)</td>
<td>O.ACI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFR</td>
<td>Objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ETC.2</td>
<td>O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_AFL.1</td>
<td>O.I&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (ACF)</td>
<td>O.ACI O.I&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_SOS.1</td>
<td>O.I&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.2</td>
<td>O.I&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
<td>O.I&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_USB.1</td>
<td>O.AUDIT O.I&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
<td>O.ACI O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ACF)</td>
<td>O.ACI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ETC)</td>
<td>O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMF.1</td>
<td>O.ACI O.I&amp;A O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
<td>O.ACI O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_RVM.1</td>
<td>O.ACI O.AUDIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>O.FEED O.PROVISION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: SFRs for the TOE traced back to objectives for the TOE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR (environment)</th>
<th>Objective (environment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Managed Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>OE.MANAGED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directory Server</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>OE.DIR_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>OE.DIR_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transaction Data Base Server</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_STG.1</td>
<td>OE.DB_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>OE.DB_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>OE.DB_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secure Network Sessions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_ITT.1</td>
<td>OE.COM_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP_ITC.1</td>
<td>OE.COM_PROT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Runtime Environment of the TOE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_SEP.1</td>
<td>OE.ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_STM.1</td>
<td>OE.AUDIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 9: SFRs for the environment traced back to objectives for the environment*

**8.2.2 Security Requirements Sufficiency**

The following arguments provide justification for each security objective for the TOE, showing that the TOE security functional requirements are suitable to meet and achieve the security objectives.

**O.ACI** requires that only authorized users gain access to TOE resources, and that access can be controlled based on access control rules. This objective is achieved by imposing the ITIM access control SFP in *FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)*, which is specified in *FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)*. This SFP covers, according to *FMT_MSA.1*, also access control to the security attributes defined in *FIA_ATD.1 (ACF)*. Restrictive default values for the SFP are defined in *FMT_MSA.3 (ACF)*, while the management of the SFP is ensured by *FMT_SMF.1*. The administrator role defined in *FMT_SMR.1* supports the definition of the SFP, which in turn states that administrators are not subject to access control (i.e.
they are granted access to all objects). The authorization functionality modeled in these SFRs contributes to the implementation of TSP enforcement required in FPT_RVM.1.

**O.AUDIT** requires that the status of security relevant transactions is recorded by means of audit records. This is achieved by implementing the generation of audit records and the specification of auditable events in FAU_GEN.1. The generation of audit records is supported by FAU_GEN.2 and FIA_USB.1, allowing a proper association of audit records with users. FAU_SAR.1 contributes to O.AUDIT by implementing functionality for reviewing audit records, which can be restricted by means of access control (FAU_SAR.2). The authorization functionality modeled in these SFRs contributes to the implementation of TSP enforcement required in FPT_RVM.1.

**O.FEED** requires that person data imported via external data feed or from remote resources is properly associated with data already existing in the TOE. This objective is achieved by FPT_TDC.1 requiring consistent interpretation of data shared between the TOE and other trusted IT products.

**O.I&A** requires users to be authenticated by the TOE. This objective is achieved by requiring authentication in FIA_UAU.2, which in turn is enabled by means to identify single users (FIA_UID.2). To allow a proper relationship between authenticated users and their representation in the TOE, FIA_USB.1 establishes a user-subject binding. FIA_SOS.1 ensures that passwords comply with a dedicated password policy. Authentication credentials are security attributes in terms of the TSF according to FIA_ATD.1 (ACF), management is provided by FMT_SMF.1. FIA_AFL.1 provides means to prevent the authentication mechanism from misuse through continuous password guessing.

**O.PROVISION** requires that accounts are only provisioned to persons that are entitled to the corresponding service, and that account information is properly associated with these persons. This is achieved by implementing the Provisioning access control SFP defined in FDP_ACC.1 (ETC), which is applied to the export of account data (Provisioning) in FDP_ETC.2 and specified in FDP_ACF.1 (ETC). Management of this SFP (FMT_SMF.1) is restricted to authorized users by the ITIM access control SFP as in FMT_MSA.1, whereas users having the role of an administrator (FMT_SMR.1) are always authorized. Security attributes for the enforcement of the Provisioning access control SFP are defined in FIA_ATD.1 (ETC). Restrictive default values for the definition of the Provisioning information flow SFP are provided in FMT_MSA.3 (ETC). Consistent interpretation of the data provisioned to the managed resources, as far as the TOE is concerned, is provided by FPT_TDC.1.

The following arguments provide justification for each security objective for the IT environment, showing that the security functional requirements for the IT environment are suitable to meet and achieve the security objectives:
OE.AUDIT requires the provision of a reliable time source for audit generation. This is achieved by requiring a reliable time source in FPT_STM.1.

OE.COM_PROT requires the protection of communication between TOE parts and between TOE parts and external entities in order to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of transferred data. This is achieved for TOE internal transfer by FPT_ITT.1 and by requiring a trusted channel in FTP_ITC.1 for inter-TSF communication.

OE.DB_PROT requires the transaction database to protect the TSF data and user data stored against unauthorized access. This is achieved by implementing authentication as in FIA_UAU.1 and identification as in FIA_UID.1. The explicit objective to protect audit records against unauthorized deletion is implemented by FAU_STG.1.

OE.DIR_PROT requires the user registry to protect the TSF data and user data stored against unauthorized access. This is achieved by implementing authentication as in FIA_UAU.1 and identification as in FIA_UID.1.

OE.ENFORCEMENT requires a dedicated execution domain for the TOE, which is satisfied by FPT_SEP.1 introducing domain separation for the runtime environment of the TOE in order to protect the TOE from untrusted subjects.

OE.MANAGED requires interpreting data on managed resources that is provided by the TOE during account provisioning in a consistent fashion. This is implemented by defining appropriate interpretation rules in FPT_TDC.1.

8.2.3 Security Requirements Dependencies

The following tables show the fulfillment of dependencies imposed on security functional requirements by Part 2 of the Common Criteria (the left column identifies the CC Part 2 component, the middle column identifies the dependencies on that component drawn from CC Part 2, and the right column illustrates how the dependency is fulfilled). No additional dependencies exist for the security functional requirements in this Security Target.

Dependencies within the EAL3 “package” selected for the security assurance requirements have been considered by the authors of CC Part 3 and are not analyzed again here. The included component on flaw remediation, ALC_FLR.1, has no dependencies on other requirements.

The security functional requirements in this Security Target do not introduce dependencies on any security assurance requirement; neither do the security assurance requirements in this Security Target introduce dependencies on any security functional requirement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Fulfillment of dependencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>FPT_STM.1</td>
<td>FPT_STM.1 (Environment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.2</td>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.1</td>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.2</td>
<td>FAU_SAR.1</td>
<td>FAU_SAR.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACF.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ETC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ETC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.2</td>
<td>FDP_ACF.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ACF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACC.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ACF)</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.3</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ACF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACC.1</td>
<td>FDP_ACC.1 (ETC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ETC)</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.3</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ETC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ETC.2</td>
<td>[FDP_ACC.1]</td>
<td>FDP_ACC.1 (ETC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FDP_IFC.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_AFL.1</td>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>FIA_UAU.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ACF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ETC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_SOS.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.2</td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_USB.1</td>
<td>FIA_ATD.1</td>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (ACF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
<td>[FDP_ACC.1]</td>
<td>FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FDP_IFC.1</td>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FMT_SMF.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ACF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFR</td>
<td>Dependencies</td>
<td>Fulfillment of dependencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ETC)</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMF.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_RVM.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Dependency Analysis for TOE SFRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Fulfillment of dependencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Dependency Analysis for the Managed Resources in the IT environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Fulfillment of dependencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Dependency Analysis for the Directory Server in the IT environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Fulfillment of dependencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAU_STG.1</td>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>FAU_GEN.1 (TOE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Dependency Analysis for the RDBMS in the IT environment
**Table 14: Dependency Analysis for Transaction Security in the IT environment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Fulfillment of dependencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPT_ITT.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTP_ITC.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 15: Dependency Analysis for the Runtime Environment of the TOE in the IT environment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Fulfillment of dependencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FPT_SEP.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_STM.1</td>
<td>No dependencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8.2.4 Internal Consistency and Mutual Support

Chapter 8.2.2 has already shown how the IT security requirements work together to implement the single objectives for the TOE and the IT environment. This chapter will elaborate on the internal consistency and mutual support of the IT security requirements. Further information can as well be found in the application notes to the security requirements in chapter 5.

**Internal Consistency and Mutual Support of Security Functional Requirements for the TOE**

The TOE’s main purpose is Identity Management, i.e. managing a large base of person information and provisioning accounts on services to persons that are entitled to use them.

Management of the user (and TSF) data is restricted by the **ITIM access control SFP** implemented by *FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)* and defined in *FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)*. *FMT_SMR.1* introduces the role of an administrator, whose access is – according to *FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)* – not further restricted by the access control SFP.

In order to enforce access control for the TOE, users are required to **identify and authenticate** themselves in *FIA_UID.2* and *FIA_UAU.2*. Authentication of users is implemented by passwords, which are subject to a password policy as defined in *FIA_SOS.1*. Password guessing attacks are prevented by *FIA_AFL.1* Actions of users within the system is tied to users by user-subject binding as in *FIA_USB.1*.

In order to enforce access control for the TOE, users are required to **identify and authenticate** themselves in *FIA_UID.2* and *FIA_UAU.2*. Authentication of users is implemented by passwords, which are subject to a password policy as defined in *FIA_SOS.1*. Password guessing attacks are prevented by *FIA_AFL.1* Actions of users within the system is tied to users by user-subject binding as in *FIA_USB.1*.

Actions requested by users are subject to **auditing** as defined in *FAU_GEN.1*. Audit records are associated to users as in *FAU_GEN.2* and *FIA_USB.1*. The TOE offers functionality to review audit records (*FAU_SAR.1*) for authorized users (*FAU_SAR.2*).
Person data that is derived from external sources subject to consistent interpretation of the data in accordance with the interpretation rules specified in FPT_TDC.1.

The Provisioning itself, i.e. the export of user data to the managed resources based on the decision whether a person is entitled to an account on the managed resource, is subject to a Provisioning access control SFP as implemented by FDP_ACC.1 (ETC) and described in FDP_ACF.1 (ETC). It is applied to the export of user data by FDP_ETC.2. In addition, FPT_TDC.1 supports the consistent interpretation of account data exchanged with managed resources during provisioning.

The management of security attributes for the Security Functional Policies described above – as part of the security management functions defined in FMT_SMF.1 – is itself subject to the ITIM Access Control SFP, as required by FMT_MSA.1. Restrictive default values for all policies are required in FMT_MSA.3 (ACF) and FMT_MSA.3 (ETC). The security attributes maintained by the TOE for users and persons are defined in FIA_ATD.1 (ETC).

Bypass prevention for the TSF is offered by FPT_RVM.1.

Internal Consistency and Mutual Support of Security Functional Requirements for the IT Environment

The IT environment for the TOE offers supportive mechanisms for the security functionality of the TOE.

It must be ensured that the data presented to managed resources by the TOE as part of the provisioning functionality will be consistently interpreted by the managed resources. FPT_TDC.1 specifies appropriate interpretation rules.

The generation of audit records by the TOE requires a reliable time source – such is provided by the Web Application Server (i.e. the runtime environment for the ITIM server) as required in FPT_STM.1. In addition, the underlying machine provides protection of the TOE by offering a dedicated execution domain for it in FPT_SEP.1.

TSF data and user data is stored in external repositories, i.e. a LDAP user registry and a transaction data base, which both are required to implement identification (FIA_UID.1) and authentication (FIA_UAU.1) for their users in order to make sure that only the TOE is able to access its data. In addition, the transaction data base has to protect the audit records against unauthorized modification (FAU_STG.1).

Network communication between the ITIM server and adapters, as well as between the TOE and external entities, requires protection against disclosure and modification of TSF data and user data – this is required for TOE internal transfer by FPT_ITT.1 and for external communication by
8.2.5 Evaluation Assurance Level and Strength of Function

The evaluation assurance level (EAL) 3 was chosen as a medium level of assurance reflecting the expected assurance requirements of commercial customers using the target of evaluation (TOE) for the protection of data with a low or medium level of sensitivity. The TOE is intended to provide a reasonable level of protection for this data comparable to the protection provided by most commercial-off-the-shelf operating system products. This is reflected as well in the definition of the TOE environment in chapter 2 and the security objectives for the TOE in chapter 4 of this Security Target.

The assurance level EAL3 was augmented with ALC_FLR.1 to address the flaw remediation process used within Tivoli. Since the evaluation methodology for ALC_FLR.1 has been harmonized and is also covered by the Mutual Recognition Arrangement, this was considered to be a useful augmentation for the assurance level chosen.

The ST claims for the functions provided by the TOE that are subject to probabilistic or permutational analysis a medium strength (SOF-medium) as a minimum. This allows resistance against attackers with a moderate attack potential.

The security functional requirement subject to a SOF rating is FIA_SOS.1 (password policy), supported by FIA_AFL.1 (authentication failure handling).

8.3. TOE Summary Specification Rationale

8.3.1 Security Functions Justification

The following table shows that the IT security functions as specified in the TOE summary specification, meet all the security functional requirements for the TOE and work together to satisfy the TOE security functional requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Security Functions from the TOE Summary Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.1</td>
<td>The requirement to generate audit records is met by F.Auditing, providing for the generation of audit data for the auditable events listed in FAU_GEN.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_GEN.2</td>
<td>User identity association is achieved by storing the subject’s DN in audit records generated as part of F.Auditing, and supported by identification of users provided by F.I&amp;A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFR</td>
<td>Security Functions from the TOE Summary Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.1</td>
<td>F.Auditing offers functionality to review audit records to authorized users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAU_SAR.2</td>
<td>Access to audit records is granted based on the ITIM access control SFP realized by F.Authorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>The enforcement of the Provisioning access control SFP is provided by F.Provisioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACC.2 (ACF)</td>
<td>The enforcement of the ITIM access control SFP is implemented by F.Authorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ACF)</td>
<td>The implementation of the access control mechanism as defined in FDP_ACF.1 is provided by F.Authorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ACF.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>The implementation of the access control mechanisms for data export is provided by F.Provisioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP_ETC.2</td>
<td>Export of user data is governed by the provisioning implemented by F.Provisioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_AFL.1</td>
<td>Authentication failure handling is implemented by F.I&amp;A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (ACF)</td>
<td>User attributes for TOE users are maintained in order to implement the security functions F.Audit, F.Authorization, and F.I&amp;A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_ATD.1 (ETC)</td>
<td>User attributes for persons managed by the TOE are maintained in order to implement the security function F.Provisioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_SOS.1</td>
<td>The password policy is implemented by F.I&amp;A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UAU.2</td>
<td>User authentication is implemented by F.I&amp;A, prior to any request a user can issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_UID.2</td>
<td>User identification is implemented by F.I&amp;A as part of the authentication process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIA_USB.1</td>
<td>User-subject binding is offered by F.I&amp;A by credentials that are assigned to authenticated users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.1</td>
<td>F.Authorization enforces the ITIM access control SFP in order</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 16: Mapping Security Functional Requirements to Security Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>Security Functions from the TOE Summary Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to restrict management of security attributes to authorized users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ACF)</td>
<td>F.Authorization provides restrictive default values for the ITIM access control SFP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_MSA.3 (ETC)</td>
<td>Restrictive default values for the Provisioning information flow SFP are provided by F.Provisioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMF.1</td>
<td>Management of users, groups, and user credentials is provided by F.I&amp;A.; management of ACIs is provided by F.Authorization; management of person and account data, organizational roles, provisioning policies, workflow policies and service definitions is provided by F.Provisioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMT_SMR.1</td>
<td>Maintenance of user roles is primarily implemented by F.I&amp;A.; the administrator role is privileged in the authorization mechanisms implemented by F.Authorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_RVM.1</td>
<td>F.I&amp;A ensures that all users are authenticated prior to further action, which in turn is subject to access control by F.Authorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPT_TDC.1</td>
<td>Consistent interpretation of imported user data is provided by F.Data_Feed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8.3.2 Mutual Support of the Security Functions

The TOE’s main purpose is Identity Management, i.e. managing a large base of person information and provisioning accounts on services to persons that are entitled to use them.

In order to allow users (including those in the role of an administrator) the management of user (person) data, identification and authentication of users is provided by **F.I&A**. This includes the optional generation of passwords for users and the enforcement of a password policy, as well as authentication failure handling.

Management is subject to access control implemented by **F.Authorization**, which enforces access control decisions based on administrator-defined Access Control Item (ACI). Administrators themselves are not subject to any access restrictions.
Auditing of security-relevant user requests is provided by F.Auditing. Audit records are generated and can be reviewed by authorized users. Thus, accountability (as a result of prior authentication) and misuse detection is provided.

Policies can be defined to specify export (provisioning) and import (reconciliation and identity feed) rules, provided by F.Provisioning and F.Data_Feed.

As a result

- no transactions can be requested by users without being authenticated
- all transactions requested by users are subject to access control
- accountability for transactions is provided
- the management of person data, as well as the definition of services, service entitlements and means to import user data is controlled and restricted to authorized users

8.3.3 Rationale for Strength of Function Claim

The minimum strength of function claimed is SOF-medium. This affects the security function F.I&A and its mechanism for providing password policy enforcement. SOF-medium means that those mechanisms be resistant to attackers with a moderate attack potential, which is in line with the intended operational environment of the TOE (commercial computing environments with a medium level of security required). This corresponds as well to the definition of the attack potential as in section 3.2.
## Appendix

### A.1 Definition of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Control Item</td>
<td>Controls user access by defining the access privileges of an ITIM Group or ACI principal. An ACI grants or denies the ability to perform Tivoli Identity Manager functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account</td>
<td>Object that represents the information defined for a user, or identity, within the context of a managed resource. This information may be security and/or profile characteristics for the user specific to the resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI</td>
<td>Access Control Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adapter</td>
<td>Software module that is part of ITIM, but distributed remotely from the ITIM server as the part of a connector that interacts directly with the managed resource (service). The module implements the connector commands by translating them in to resource specific commands. The adapters that are part of the evaluated configuration are identified in section 2.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>An ITIM User being member of the Administrator Group. An Administrator is not subject to any access control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>Information or resources to be protected by the countermeasures of a TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Grounds for confidence that an entity meets its security objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack potential</td>
<td>The perceived potential for success of an attack, should an attack be launched, expressed in terms of an attacker’s expertise, resources and motivation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>The addition of one or more assurance component(s) from Part3 to an EAL or assurance package.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authentication data</td>
<td>Information used to verify the claimed identity of a user.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized user</td>
<td>A user who may, in accordance with the TSP, perform an operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPOrganization</td>
<td>Business Partner Organization. One of the types of subsidiary entities that can be added to an Organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPerson</td>
<td>Business Partner Person. A Person in a Business Partner Organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Unit</strong></td>
<td>A subsidiary entity of an Organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Component</strong></td>
<td>The smallest selectable set of elements that may be included in a PP, an ST, or a package.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connector</strong></td>
<td>Connectors support a specific resource. One element of the connector, the service provider, executes at the ITIM Server machine to cause data to be delivered to the managed resource. The other element of the connector, the adapter, executes at the managed resource. The connector is responsible for receiving directives from the ITIM Server and implementing changes at the managed resource, using the primitives of the managed resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation</strong></td>
<td>The act of empowering one to act for another. In the ITIM context, this means giving another ITIM user, or group of users, a set of permissions to perform operations using ITIM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependency</strong></td>
<td>A relationship between requirements such that the requirement that is depended upon must normally be satisfied for the other requirements to be able to meet their objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DSML</strong></td>
<td>Directory Services Markup Language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EAL</strong></td>
<td>Evaluation Assurance Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Element</strong></td>
<td>An indivisible security requirement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entitlement</strong></td>
<td>A construct to define a set of permissions, or privileges, on a managed resource. This construct will be organized into a Provisioning Policy to grant those permissions to a set of identities (represented by roles).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Entity**         | 1) A Person or object for which information is stored.  
2) One of the following classes, as referred to by the Tivoli Identity Manager system: Person, BPPerson, Organization, BPOrganization |
<p>| <strong>Evaluation</strong>     | Assessment of a PP, an ST or a TOE, against defined criteria. |
| <strong>Evaluation</strong>     | A package consisting of assurance components from Part 3 that represents a point on the CC predefined assurance scale. |
| <strong>Extension</strong>      | The addition to an ST or PP of functional requirements not contained in Part 2 and/or assurance requirements not contained in Part 3 of the CC. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External IT entity</td>
<td>Any IT product or system, untrusted or trusted, outside of the TOE that interacts with the TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>See ITIM Group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Feed</td>
<td>Human Resources Feed. See Identity Feed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTML</td>
<td>Hypertext Markup Language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>Hypertext Transfer Protocol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>See Person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity Feed</td>
<td>An automated process in which the Tivoli Identity Manager system imports user data from a human resources database or file and feeds the information into the Tivoli Identity Manager directory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal communication channel</td>
<td>A communication channel between separated parts of TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal TOE transfer</td>
<td>Communicating data between separated parts of the TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-TSF transfers</td>
<td>Communicating data between the TOE and the security functions of other trusted IT products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iteration</td>
<td>The use of a component more than once with varying operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITIM</td>
<td>IBM Tivoli Identity Manager. Also: TIM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITIM Group</td>
<td>An ITIM Group delegates management rights to ITIM Users on the ITIM server. Management can be restricted to only change its own password, over being able to manage Organizational Roles and membership of other identities to those roles, up to being allowed to perform system management for the TOE. Adding an identity to at least one ITIM Group implies creation of an account for that identity on the ITIM server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITIM User</td>
<td>A Person provisioned with an ITIM account, i.e. an account to access the TOE. This requires an entitlement for the Person to the ITIM Service. Users can be delegated (by membership of an ITIM Group) to perform certain management actions within ITIM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2EE</td>
<td>Java [TM] 2 Platform, Enterprise Edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Managed Resource</strong></td>
<td>An item that can be owned or accessed by a set of identities. This resource will be represented as a service in ITIM. Provisioning policies will entitle the appropriate identities to ownership of, or access to, a resource. Adapters enforce the entitlements on the resources. Examples of resources are NT domains, SAP systems, RACF systems, mail servers, and databases. The adapters that are part of the evaluated configuration are identified in section 2.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Object</strong></td>
<td>An entity within the TSC that contains or receives information and upon which subjects perform operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization</strong></td>
<td>A logical construct that stands on the top of an organizational hierarchy (Organization Tree) managed with Tivoli Identity Manager. Generally, an organization represents a company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Element</strong></td>
<td>Organization, Organizational Unit, Location, Business Partner Organization, or Administrative Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Role</strong></td>
<td>A named set (group) of identities. The determination of which identities should belong to a role is specific to the customer’s business objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational security policies</strong></td>
<td>One or more security rules, procedures, practices, or guidelines imposed by an organisation upon its operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orphan Accounts</strong></td>
<td>Accounts on a Managed Resource whose owner in the Tivoli Identity Manager system cannot be determined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Person</strong></td>
<td>Object within ITIM representing a human or computing entity that is being managed, or controlled, and audited. Persons can be entitled (by membership of an Organizational Role that is subject to a Provisioning Policy) to use services in the IT environment. In such case of account provisioning, a Person will be represented as a user on a resource. This</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationship</td>
<td>is modelled in ITIM as a Person owning zero up to many accounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Protection Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Profile</td>
<td>An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a category of TOEs that meet specific consumer needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisioning Policy</td>
<td>A Provisioning Policy grants permissions to a set of identities (i.e. to the members of an Organizational Role) by entitling them to have accounts on dedicated services in the IT environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDBMS</td>
<td>Relational Data Base Management System. ITIM employs a RDBMS in the IT environment as transaction database, which includes storage of audit records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement</td>
<td>The addition of details to a component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>See Managed Resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMI</td>
<td>Remote Method Invocation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>See Organizational Role.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secret</td>
<td>Information that must be known only to authorised users and/or the TSF in order to enforce a specific SFP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security attribute</td>
<td>Information associated with subjects, users and/or objects that is used for the enforcement of the TSP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Function</td>
<td>A part or parts of the TOE that have to be relied upon for enforcing a closely related subset of the rules from the TSP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Function</td>
<td>The security policy enforced by an SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security objective</td>
<td>A statement of intent to counter identified threats and/or satisfy identified organisation security policies and assumptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Target</td>
<td>A set of security requirements and specifications to be used as the basis for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>The specification of one or more items from a list in a component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Object that represents a managed resource that supports actual users. A service is protected via the creation of policies. The user information on the service is represented with accounts. These accounts are updated by ITIM through a connector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Security Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFP</td>
<td>Security Function Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF</td>
<td>Strength of function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF-medium</td>
<td>A level of the TOE strength of function where analysis shows that the function provides adequate protection against straightforward or intentional breach of TOE security by attackers possessing a moderate attack potential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSL</td>
<td>Secure Socket Layer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Security Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength of function</td>
<td>A qualification of a TOE security function expressing the minimum efforts assumed necessary to defeat its expected security behaviour by directly attacking its underlying security mechanisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>An entity within the TSC that causes operations to be performed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>A specific IT installation, with a particular purpose and operational environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target of evaluation</td>
<td>An IT product or system and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDI</td>
<td>IBM Tivoli Directory Integrator. Also: ITDI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM</td>
<td>IBM Tivoli Identity Manager. Also: ITIM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOE</td>
<td>Target of evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOE resource</td>
<td>Anything useable or consumable in the TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOE security functions</td>
<td>A set consisting of all hardware, software, and firmware of the TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the TSP. The TOE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term</strong></td>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>security functions (TSF)</td>
<td>A set of interfaces, whether interactive (man-machine interface) or programmatic (application programming interface), through which TOE resources are accessed, mediated by the TSF, or information is obtained from the TSF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOE Security Functions Interface</td>
<td>A structured representation of the security policy to be enforced by the TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trusted channel</td>
<td>A means by which a TSF and a remote trusted IT product can communicate with necessary confidence to support the TSP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC</td>
<td>TSF scope of control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSF</td>
<td>TOE security functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSF data</td>
<td>Data created by and for the TOE that might affect the operation of the TOE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSF scope of control</td>
<td>The set of interactions that can occur with or within a TOE and are subject to the rules of the TSP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSFI</td>
<td>TSF Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>TOE Security Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UML</td>
<td>Unified Modeling Language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User</td>
<td>See ITIM User.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User data</td>
<td>Data created by and for the user that does not affect the operation of the TSF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAS</td>
<td>Web Application Server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View</td>
<td>a set of tasks that a particular type of user can see, but not necessarily perform, on the graphical user interfaces (self service and administrative consoles).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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